Folly Farm

by the same author

*

THE RECOVERY OF BELIEF

DECADENCE

ABOUT EDUCATION

GOD AND EVIL

GUIDE TO MODERN THOUGHT

RETURN TO PHILOSOPHY

THE TESTAMENT OF JOAD

Folly Farm

by

C. E. M. JOAD

with a foreword by
John Betjeman
and
The Rev. Canon Frederic Hood

FABER AND FABER

24 Russell Square

London

First published in mcmliv by Faber and Faber Limited 24 Russell Square London W.C.1 Printed in Great Britain by Purnell and Sons Limited Paulton (Somerset) and London All rights reserved

Contents

	FOREWORD	page ix
	INTRODUCTION	xv
	A WALK ON THE DOWNS	1
•	THE LESSER BREEDS	24
	"PROGRESS"	48
	THE FÊTE AND THE LUNCH	73
	MR. LONGPAST IN THE LAKES	S 105
	OUR "CULTURE"	125
	POSTSCRIPT	154

his book was written during the final stages of ril Joad's painful illness. He planned it after he ew that he had only a few months to live and that : increasing pain from which he was suffering could t be alleviated. He wrote it to keep his mind active 1 to drive off self-pity—the second a needless preition, for he was never prone to it. It concerns things out which he felt very strongly—the preservation of country, the depredation of it by service departnts, his own farming in Sussex, good cooking and od wine, as well as many other general topics which liked to discuss. But the book does not show, and s not intended to show, what happened in his ritual life after the publication of The Recovery of ief and during his last months on earth. It has netimes been stated that he took up Christianity as esult of the public humiliation he endured over his amons by the Railway Executive for travelling hout a ticket. This is not true. Many years before t incident he had been searching for faith and had le visits to the Mirfield Fathers in Yorkshire and red with them. All his many friends, Christian and erwise, will know how Cyril was incapable of

hypocrisy and how his public life still left him among his friends the gentle, kind and essentially humble person he was.

When he finally learned that his disease was in curable he asked me whether I knew a clergyman in whom he could speak frankly, who would not be shocked and he said, "And I hope you won't think I am arrogan in saying this, but I want someone with whom I who not have to pull my punches, which I feel I have to did with so many of these good men." He found this person in Canon Frederic Hood, late principal of Pusey House Oxford, who writes as follows:

"I was up at Oxford at the same time as Cyril Joal who was a prominent and provocative figure at the Union. But he and I only became friends during li last illness, which was a long one. John Betjeman toll me that he badly wanted to see a priest, and for some months I saw a good deal of him. We talked the same language and thought in similar categories, and thu quickly developed a strong rapport. For several year he had been a communicant Anglican, with a great love for the simple worship in country churches Lately he had written his apologia: The Recovery Belief. It was, however, a tragedy that he died without publishing a sequel, for the book was very much interim apologetic. He moved much further in his last months both in his apprehension of the Catholic religion as taught by the Church of England and in his practice of it, humbly making use of sacramental Confession and Absolution and deeply valuing his frequent Communions. The latter were taken to him by the Roll

erard Irvine, who was often driven to Joad's Hampead home by Miss Rose Macaulay. Joad had urageous will power. Each week he conducted a minar in his bedroom. By wearing an iron jacket he uld occasionally go out in a car, and even visited the iema. To the last he had a mind like a rapier. His idency was to take things very literally and to alvse promptly whatever one said. I was often ninded of the cartoon in Punch, which depicted him ying to a waiter, 'It depends what you mean by (a) ck and (b) clear.' Not unnaturally his thoughts often 1 to the after life. He was realist enough to know that must not expect to go 'straight to heaven' when he ed; and we often discussed whether the costly puriation which lay ahead was to be thought of in terms time or of intensity. As we talked of the Gospels, he ed to see inside the mind of our Lord. Certain stories i sayings puzzled him; and he was inclined to expect it clergymen would have a tidy answer, learned at a ological college. Of the incident of the Gadarene ine he remarked, 'I'm a farmer myself, and I know it it was a serious loss.' He was perplexed by the passages from which it might appear that Jesus icipated the final cataclysm within the lifetime of hearers. The lack of secular evidence for the veil of Temple being rent in twain also troubled him. This ught was suggested to him by Gibbon's Decline and U, which was being read aloud to him, as he had never iself finished its many volumes. When he had not ide his own' some part of the Church's teaching and ried to explain its content and relevance, I would

sometimes say, 'You want to believe, don't you?' and he would reply, 'Passionately.' Knowing that he had only a short time to live, he was in a hurry to dely more deeply into those depths where he now knew vita truth was to be found. At the age of sixty a brillian mind had discovered something which transformed hi whole outlook on life and attitude towards his fellow men. Arrogance and hypercritical self-confidence gave place to humility and eagerness to learn: but difficulties must never be glossed over or short cuts taken. 'I never said a prayer for fifty years,' he told me. Now he knew that prayer is a science and that he was a beginner With child-like simplicity he became a keen and willim learner. A month before he died I was obliged to leave for a preaching tour in the United States. We knew that we should not meet again in this world. My parting words were to say the Collect for the 6th Sunday after Trinity—'O God, who has prepared for them that low thee such good things as pass man's understanding. and to give him the Blessing. He spoke that day of h loneliness as a Christian, being unable to go to Church and having so few like-minded friends. John Betjeman will relate what was done to relieve this loneliness.

In his last few weeks Cyril suggested to me that he would very much like fellow Christians to come and make their Communion with him at his bedside in the early morning. This some of us managed to do a several occasions, and all was prepared for us through the attention of Miss Maude Matthews, who looked after Cyril devotedly until the end, giving up her work as a school-teacher to do so. Miss Rose Macaulay would

Fring up Father Whiteman of the Grosvenor Chapel in ler motor car to Cyril's house on the edge of Hampstead Heath, and he brought the Blessed Sacrament with him. The rest of us came from near and far—Mervyn Horder, lock Murray, E. W. F. Tomlin, Alan Pryce Jones. He dlways thanked us afterwards for being there and, if he has feeling strong enough, very much liked to talk. He remarked to me that he felt the strengthening power of the Sacrament was ten times as great when we were that here as when he received it alone.

I cannot conclude without a reference to the dignity of Cyril's last weeks alive. To see him then was an enburagement and inspiration in stead of an ordeal to the many friends who came to visit him. He spoke with all his td humour, but with a calmness and wisdom which made disiting him a privilege instead of an ordeal. One could ay anything to him. It puzzled him that intelligent bople could have no faith. He remarked how one old ad kind friend, a free-thinker, came to see him, and fyril said to him, "Now what would you do if you knew bu only had a few more weeks to live and still had your mental faculties unimpaired except for moments of eain?" The friend said to him, "Well, I would get all the books I had wanted to read and hadn't had time to ad, and get through as many of them as possible." pril's comment to me was, "Can you imagine anything nore barren?" I remember asking him questions which othered me and which may bother other people. I old to him, "Do you really think there is such a thing rl personal survival?" He said, "I am quite convinced tit." Another time I said, "Do you find questions like

the claims of the Church of Rome important now? and "What do you feel about sexual incidents in you past?" He replied, "All those seem trivial. What really bothers me are the times when I have been unkind, and those I greatly regret." Cyril was one of the kindest of men, but his answers to my questions may be as helpful to those who read this as they were to him It is for that reason that I put in anything so intimate

Mervyn Horder and I went to see him on his last night alive, and we were taken in the car of a lad whom Cyril had not met. Although he was very weather asked that she should be brought in to sit with us and he greeted her and said goodbye to her with the greatest courtesy. He asked Mervyn Horder to play him on the piano, which stood at the bottom of his believely played a Gavotte by Handel, and Cynremarked at the conclusion how inevitably the must concluded, just as though it were sailing into port. few hours later he died.

John Betjeman Frederic Hood

Introduction

would be idle to pretend that this book is a novel to claim that it ever rises above the status of a logue—not that there is any harm in dialogues, but y are, I am told, not well thought of by publishers upublic. My characters talk interminably because I ren't the fertility of invention to make them do anying else, and they all talk more or less like the author lause, having little sense of character, I don't know by to make them talk like themselves.

mnd if I do not know how to make them talk like remselves, still less do I know how to make them act like mselves. I did, I am not ashamed to admit, attempt nal chapter, a chapter aglow with action, a chapter which two apparently rational and intelligent chaps up to this point had they not been two mere proions of the author?) are so blinded by jealous passion thwarted lust that they come near to drowning h other in Mr. Longpast's river and impaling each er on his elevator. I attempted the chapter and ed I finished it. Hamlet played the comedian, but I decided, on the night.

will not escape the notice of the more literate lers that the novels or dialogues of Thomas Love cock have been my model, but it was only when I

Introduction

actually essayed the task of emulating them that discovered how artful was their composition; artful moreover, with an artistry which he possessed and alas, do not.

For one thing, Peacock's writings are pervaded by gaiety of continuous light-heartedness. Now light heartedness, which is a prerogative in youth, is, achievement in age, an achievement which I fear m all too often have escaped me. For another, many Peacock's characters live with a life of their own, are far from being merely recognisable projections their author; but mine, when they are not more or recognisably me, can scarcely be said to exist at Indeed—I had better make a clean breast of it can't find much to say in favour of these charact Mr. Crossmons and Mr. Whiteman, for example, insipid with veracity. The answers of Miss Flightly. Mr. Highbrow can be predicted with the infallibility known gramophone record. Nellie Smart, for all show of daring, is, it is obvious, the kind of girl whispers sweet "nothing-doings" in your ear. Only Messrs. Longpast and Deepfeed do I hold a brief first sight, indeed, they may appear no more that pair of cross-grained, greedy old men, but if they sympathetically studied it will be found that in of their interminable dialogue on English cooking Chapter IV they are not just drains through with good food flows to dung. For one thing, they have a of vitality; Mr. Longpast is, of course, an old m an old man, moreover, who obviously hates his But, as he himself would say, growing old, much at

Introduction

likes it, is the only way he has yet discovered of ng a tolerably long life, and, reading him after I I finished with him, I was almost persuaded that he I the gift of perpetual middle age.

egain, I would claim that both Messrs. Longpast and epfeed are civilised in the sense of the word in which vilised man gets more out of life than an uncivilised n achievement for which those among my readers are uncivilised will never forgive them.

'eacock, finally, is a master of animated narrative—ness, for example, the Welsh mountain scenes in tchet Castle—so that you are made to read on by r desire to know what is going to happen. But I it hard to believe that anybody will be sufficiently rested in Arthur Logan and Capt. Poynter to care much what was the issue of the great feud which is so near to being the subject of the last chapter of book. If any there are, they will find the bare facts orded in the shortest of postscripts.

ut when all is said that can be said for action and acters, the staple of this book, such as it is, conin dialogue; and since this is for the most part a ussion of ideas, I cannot hope that in England, re, though you may with difficulty bring a reader ggling to the brink of the dark river of thought, it matter of almost superhuman strength and strategy nake him take the plunge, it will be favourably ived.

C. E. M. JOAD

ipstead, December 1952.

hrough Mr. Longpast's farm there ran a river; in ct, it embraced the farm, folding itself round it in zy loops and presenting Mr. Longpast with long retches of rich grazing land, partially flooded in inter, on both banks. In two places the river ran tween steep slopes, called locally "hangers", whose les were covered with trees and bushes, and carpeted th an immense profusion of wild flowers. The main rm land was set on a southward-looking slope rising its northern extremity to a ridge, whence was a perb view of the South Downs. In the foreground re the farm buildings, mellowed with age, the lichened oss with which the centuries had covered their ofs glowing yellow in the sunlight. Beyond stretched e meadows in which the cattle grazed, dual-purpose ttle, so that Mr. Longpast could congratulate himf on the knowledge that, whether milk or beef were ing favoured by the Government policy of the ment, he would receive his full share of the encourageent afforded by the largesse of official subsidy. In matters of business Mr. Longpast, though his reer had been academic, was no fool. He had probably

made as much as any of his contemporaries and more than most by writing popular books on subject which happened to be philosophy, and thou this did him but poor service with his colleagu who were apt to dismiss as a professional black anybody who made clear and easy what they we paid to keep dark and difficult, it enabled him to rea a position of considerable financial solidity at an a when they were still lecturing for fifteen hundred poun a year to a dozen or so students or taking several year to write for a royalty of a hundred and fifty or at more a couple of hundred pounds a book which sold a bathousand copies. Mr. Longpast had been a good teach in his time and his university lectures were alway well attended.

Mr. Longpast supplemented his academic and literal earnings by journalism and the B.B.C. He was fluent talker and a natural writer who could discount with ease and address on almost any subject which editors suggested, and though he was a little too at to rely on his imagination for his facts and his memor for his jokes, these small failings did him little disserve with a public which lacked the knowledge to cha the former and the interest to recall the latter. As broadcaster, Mr. Longpast had enjoyed great popular until the B.B.C., for whom nothing fails like success bustled him incontinently away from the microphol after which he was never heard again. This was do in the interests of justice, since, in the B.B.C.'s view it was unfair that a trick of using the microphone will ease and opportunity should be allowed to raise a mi

an eminence of celebrity so vastly overtopping that his fellows. So, at least, they said. . . .

The rewards which his skill in these various departants of intellectual activity had won for him Mr. ngpast devoted to purchasing a farm, hoping by this ans to enjoy the amenities of a country life at small to himself, since the incomings from the farm uld, he was led to believe, more than pay for the keep of his house and garden and for the services the boy who looked after the horse, upon which, ugh ageing and fattening, Mr. Longpast still liked occasion to ride to hounds. There were also certain tters of income-tax adjustment which made it more fitable—or, shall we say, less unprofitable—for those ose incomes were mainly derived from other activities run farms than it had been in the past.

Ir. Longpast's parents on both sides had for generais been farmers—he himself, as he liked to put it,
been an intellectual "throw-out"; and in pursuing
a ever-increasing absorption the most varied of all
ings, he had only returned late in life to the avocaof his fathers.

s he had grown older and richer, Mr. Longpast had nitted himself the growth and indulgence of preces to such an extent that, having spent most of life as an orthodox left-wing Socialist, he was now ling fair to qualify for the traditional rôle of the ic British eccentric. Among his prejudices was a ed of machines of all sorts, especially cars and es, a fear of America and all things American, a ke of women—he was too old, he said, to need

these for functional purposes and he failed to see what other ground a reasonable man could wish cultivate their company—an abounding contempt British food and those who provided it, a total inc prehension of contemporary music and art, and a gen dislike of any development in the sphere of polit literature or the British way of life that had occur since the early 'twenties. Though, thanks to his expocialist training, he had the grace not to say that world was going to the dogs, that indubitably was opinion.

Though now retired from university teaching, I Longpast utilised his academic connections to reconversity students to work on his farm during the Long Vacation. They received no wage, but worked their keep. This arrangement was to everyone's a vantage. It provided the students with a change work in beautiful and healthy surroundings, eman pated them from the burdensome need to amuse the selves for sixteen hours out of the twenty-four, a introduced them to better food than they had know before or were likely to know again, while provide Mr. Longpast with cheap, unskilled labour at the performance of greatest pressure during the farm year.

One or two of Mr. Longpast's contemporaries a made a habit of staying at Folly Farm in the summ so that from June to the middle of September the howas apt to contain a fairly large party. At the prestime there were staying in the house Mr. Highbrow, old friend who had graduated from hard-shell rationalism via the Roman Catholic Church into mystics.

fr. Crossmons, the economist, who was making his tark as a rising Labour M.P., and Ali, a student from akistan. The party was completed by Nellie Smart, fr. Longpast's much-married niece, and Miss Flightly, the housekeeper, who was not only young for her age and post but had an eye for the young of the opposite ex.

It was Mr. Longpast's habit on Sundays, when work the farm, save for the feeding and milking of the ock, was suspended, to take the party for a long walk the Downs.

As they sat down to breakfast on a fine Sunday orning in the middle of July, he asked whether this buld be agreeable to the company. He promised em views over Chichester Harbour to the south d the Weald to the north, remarking at the same ne that it was good for everybody to get away from a farm. Breakfast was being administered by Miss ghtly.

MISS FLIGHTLY: Porridge, Ali?

ALI: No, thank you.

MISS FLIGHTLY: What will you have, then? There to catering for you vegetarians. Not only won't you meat, you won't eat most of the other things that inary people eat.

IR. LONGPAST: That's a thing I never could underad about vegetarians. Why not ordinary "marge"
even ordinary butter? Why must it be nut butter?
y not ordinary cake with currants or seeds? Why
est it be a special brand of fruitarian cake, and why
s, nuts, and again nuts?

ALI: Nuts are very sustaining, sir.

Mr. Longpast: No doubt, but a man doesn't want to be sustained, he wants to be pleased. How, I should like to know, do you show your superiority to the animals, if you only eat to sustain yourself? Like then you pop solid and liquid substances through a sill little hole—forgive me, Ali, yours is no sillier than the of the rest of us, including that of Miss Flightly herse—that opens at the bottom of your face. What could be more bestial? What more absurd? It is at one man's peculiarity and his privilege to have transformed the satisfaction of this need derived from his bested origin into the cultivation of an art, and by cunnimous combining substances and blending flavours to raise from the dunghill of his appetites the fruits of gas tronomy.

Miss Flightly: Well, after all that, what willy have, Ali, if you won't have porridge?

ALI: I will have cereal.

MR. LONGPAST: Now that's one of the things you can't have in this house.

MR. CROSSMONS: Why not?

MR. LONGPAST: In primis because cereals are mental adodge to save lazy women the trouble of preparation, and, secondly, because they chiefly come for America, or did, and cost dollars; anyway, America invented them and that ought to be enough. They inedible by any civilised creature except cattle.

Mr. Crossmons: The advantages of cereals breakfast are that they require no preparation, they don't go bad, that they are easily portable a

torable, and can be made ready at a moment's notice. hus their use sets the overburdened housewife free om unnecessary labour in the kitchen.

Mr. Longpast: Sets her free for what? The cinema, ne office, or the factory? Whichever it is, she would better off minding her kitchen. But what, as a latter of interest, does Ali usually eat in the mornings? Ali: Grapefruit, sir, if you have it, but, if not, any nd of fruit and plenty of milk—I don't take teand Ryvita bread.

Mr. Longpast: I put it to you as a Christian, Ali, at this is very bad theology on your part. Does not e Bible tell you that "all flesh is as grass"? This being , there can be great harm in a vegetarian consuming You should read your Bible. There is also philosophy nich tells us to take what little pleasure we can here low, to enjoy ourselves while we may, to "catch a y as it flies", to carpere diem, and I don't know what e-all of which, being applied, means that we should ike the most of the passing egg and, I wish I could d, the passing bacon-but, alas, it doesn't pass. ere are also the dietates of economics, since the spefruit (certainly) and the cereal (probably) have to imported from hard-currency countries. I wish I ild say that you showed any ill effects from your oulsive diet, but fairness compels me to add that ere isn't a more tireless worker or a stronger arm the place. You will come for a walk with us to-day,

ALI: Yes, sir, I shall be pleased to come with you. AR. LONGRAST: Who else will come?

Mr. Highbrow: I, for one, if you will go on the Downs. The elevation of the Downs never fails to engender a corresponding elevation of the spirit.

Mr. Crossmons: I believe the Downs are very in in these parts, more wild, I am told, than in the east and not so frequented.

Mr. Longpast: In other words, further remove from the march of progress and less chastened by impact. For all that, you will be comforted by the sign of many testimonies to the advancement of our times of you will do well to come.

They set off about eleven o'clock, taking with the a lunch prepared by Miss Flightly. After some ye of instruction by Mr. Longpast, who saw no reas why meals taken out of doors should not receive much care and attention as meals taken indoors, outdoor lunch under her management had develor far beyond its primitive origins in the native sandw —that whited sepulchre, as Mr. Longpast called hiding between its concealing walls God alone knd what horrors of inedible gristle and fish-paste smear It was, he averred, from the first a misconceived misbegotten comestible, whose primary concept tailed in relation to the quantity of contained meals least twice the proper quantity of containing bu that any civilised eater could wish to consume. sandwich should, he inferred, have had one bread 📭 only. But under stress of war and rationing, the temmer tion that it offered to parsimony and laziness had pro irresistible, until it had become little more than a cealment for smears of paste and scrapings of syntage

am. Yet the sandwich had become universal and for he Britisher's outdoor eating was without rival or ompetitor. "Going out for the day, are you?" says he farm housewife or the guest-house manager, with benevolent smile on her false, pasty face. "Right. I ill go and cut some sandwiches ready for you." Wherepon everybody smiles back and thanks her, as if she ere performing a special benefaction on their behalf, hich is, indeed, precisely what she believes herself to e doing, instead of fobbing them off at the cost of ree or four pence with a lunch which, if eaten inpors, would tax even her stingy providings to the rtent of the best part of one and sixpence-at least ley all smile and thank until they open the sandwiches nd tackle the Marmite or the fish-paste, when even e worm-like stomachs of the British youth of the fties have been known to turn.

Thus Mr. Longpast denounced the sandwich and chewed it. Instead there were two long French loaves ollowed out, with omelettes (fines herbes) strung down eir middles, some hard-boiled eggs, some slices of im from a recently killed pig, figs from the fig tree owing against the southward-looking wall in the tchen garden, a small basket of fresh raspberries, and covered jar of cream; there was a flask of cider and couple of bottles of wine. The spectacle of these mestibles subsequently spread on a tablecloth of wnland turf induced Ali to confess that it almost rsuaded him out of his vegetarianism, Mr. Highbrow, at it nearly caused him to renounce his practice of asceticism designed to sharpen the eye of the spirit

—"nearly" turned out to be "quite" on this occasion and Mr. Crossmons, that it almost reconciled him to the suggestion that there might be something to be said for the past when, Mr. Longpast assured him, people fed regularly like this when they didn't feed a good deal better

Mr. Crossmons: Nevertheless, as a believer in soci justice, I can't refrain from remarking that a spreadike this only makes the past worse. That my believe should fare ill—there is at least a rough sort of justin that, if nobody's fares any better. But that should batten on the fat of the land while the manare half-starving, and that the luxury of the former I must ask you to overlook this mixture of metaphon by the "former" I mean not the belly but its own my putative self—should flaunt itself to outrage to misery of the latter—that is intolerable.

Mr. Longpast: And I think it is better that som should eat like civilised beings, cat, that is to say, enjoy themselves, than that all should eat like animal to maintain themselves.

Mr. Highbrow: And I think that what you show and how much of it, through—how did you so elegand put it at breakfast?

MR. LONGPAST: A silly little hole that opens the bottom of your face.

MR. HIGHBROW:—matters precious little one way the other. Life, I am told, may be maintained on handful of rice or a rusk and glass of milk a day. We is possible is surely also desirable. The less you the appetites of the flesh, the brighter will shine they of the spirit.

All: That is right, sir. That is what they say in my intry where the holy men eat very little.

Mr. Longpast: If they say so in your country, it st be right.

The Downs that run from West Sussex into East mpshire are well wooded and though every now then a bare hilltop rises above the trees, the general ct is very different from the appearance of the tern Downs, with their succession of bare, grassy es. But there is nobody about, and the inn where Longpast stopped for a glass of beer is several s from any inhabited place. How it maintains itself in economic proposition is a mystery, but at the there is a clearing in the wood where Tappit, the teeper, grows some straggly crops and keeps a few . His wife, who is nearly deaf, is distinguished by normous goitre and the husband, having shouted er for more years than he can remember and ng nobody else to talk to, has forgotten how to without shouting, and speaks in a deafening roar. ie immediate environs of the inn there play chil--small children, apparently ownerless children very dirty children, but today being a Sunday, one has washed their faces or, rather, has washed e patches in the middle of their faces, leaving a glory of dirt all round. It reminded him, Mr. Highremarked, of the condition of human illumination. c, he said, of our knowledge, the area of the n, as a little lighted patch set in the midst of a sea of environing darkness, the unknown. Then ore you enlarge the area of the patch, the known,

the more also you enlarge its area of contact with surrounding environment, the unknown. In oth words, the more you know, the more convinced y become of the extent of your ignorance.

Mr. Longpast: I don't see the analogy. Are y suggesting that if you were to wash the whole of the child you would only become more conscious of its di

MR. HIGHBROW: No, because the surface of the child is finite and you would come to the end of but the universe is infinite and you wouldn't come the end of that.

MR. LONGPAST: Then the analogy doesn't he which is just what I said.

They took lunch on the top of Beacon Hill, when were great views to the north and the south. Mr. London past did the guide-book honours. To the north, explained, you looked away over the Weald, pure Blackdown and Hascombe hills whence Cobbett to to the hills of Leith, Holmbury and Pitch, great snoof sand sticking out into the Weald, haunts of the London week-end walker. To the south you look away over southward-stretching spurs of the Down Bow Hill and Halnaker to Chichester, St. Cathern and Butser towards Portsmouth. The spire of Chichester Cathedral could just be seen pricking up to hear and the waters of the harbour glittered silver in sunlight.

MR. HIGHBROW: What a wide prospect. How its lifts the soul and makes you think of the infinity majesty of God who made it. It is in such prospectate that the divine reveals its nature to man.

IR. LONGPAST: It doesn't uplift me and it doesn't ke me think of God.

LLI: What does it make you think of, sir?

IR. LONGPAST: Sheep, ragwort, the plough, the vice Departments and the march of progress generation.

[R. Crossmons: Are you proposing to mount your by-horse?

IR. LONGPAST: I am proposing, with your persion, to tell you what has happened to the Downs. y years ago—no, less, twenty-five years ago—the ms supported flocks of sheep. These kept the grass t and no weed could escape those close-nibbling a. Sheep, you know, are the closest grazers of all ivores. Hence the lovely smooth earpet of the ns; hence the springiness of the turf which made a joy to walk on. They were for the most part closed, and you could walk mile after mile in r direction without coming to the end of the carpet rf.

- 3. Crossmons: Can't you now?
- 1. Longpast: Not for long. For reasons best in to yourself in your capacity as legislator and omist, the sheep are gone. The only sheep left in it to-day are those folded in fields and living on apart from a few flocks on Romney Marsh. Even wanted to bring them back to the Downs, we n't, because there are no shepherds. The shepis to all intents and purposes an extinct species. do you suppose has taken the place of the sheep?
- . Crossmons: Nothing, as far as I can see.

MR. LONGPAST: You are wrong. First of all, weed have taken their place. Large areas of the Downs were ploughed up during the war. Much of the ploughlan has been left derelict and is now covered with weed and coarse grass. Ragwort in particular is increasing at an unprecedented rate. Do you see that slope over there? [Mr. Longpast pointed to a slope that glower yellow in the sunshine.] Do you know why it is yello instead of green? Because of ragwort. Now the seed the ragwort blows over the Downs and settles on the fields in the valleys, with the result that there has new been so much weeding to do on Sussex and Hampshin farms as there is to-day. The trouble is that people has discovered that if you plough up the Downs you a grow very good crops. Of course, you have to clear the first, but modern machinery, bulldozers and diggers and gyro-tillers and the Lord knows what, makes clearing comparatively easy, so the trees are cut down the bushes uprooted and the soil gashed and crush until the place looks like a vast mud heap. Everywher you see the tracks of these monsters running acre fields and through copses, making deep rents in turf, committing irreparable devastation. The Dow I am afraid, will never recover in our lifetime.

Mr. Crossmons: But, as you say, you get the co and good ones too. When we have to grow every our of food we can at home, we can't afford to leave a land uncultivated.

MR. LONGPAST: But what a short-sighted policy this Downland topsoil is not more than an inch, at most, two inches thick. That is to say, the stored

tility resulting from centuries of sheep farming only is down a very short way. Consequently, when they ploughed, the Downs will grow one good crop, sibly two, even on occasion three, but no more. a couple of years' time that field of corn you are king at now will be a derelict waste covered with ids. Now if we kept the Downs as grass and kept sheep to graze them, these thousands of acres would tinue to play their unique and natural part, as they is done for hundreds of years, in our national nomy, producing the kind of food that they are able of producing year in and year out, without any of fertility. Also [Mr. Longpast added wistfully] ton would once again have a saddle.

R. HIGHBROW: All this comes of trying to interwith the natural rhythm of things. The universe a pulse which beats on its own, producing things ding to their place and season. Try to have them of season, strawberries, frozen, in December or, d, in April instead of in June, or peas and mushs all the year round, and they will lose their ir. It is like pulling apart the petals of a flower e it is ready to open of its own accord. So if you on having things out of their appointed time lace, for example, crops from the Downs instead ep, nature will punish you by bequeathing you erness of weeds. That is the Nemesis which the cans have provoked by their hurry and greed. have cut down the trees, they have taken crops he land and put nothing back into it, and have great areas of the Middle West into barren

desert where nothing will grow because all the fert topsoil has blown away. I had thought that we kne better than that here in England.

MR. LONGPAST: We did once, but the march progress, that is to say the application of factor methods to the cultivation of the land, has been to much for our traditional wisdom. Just look at the now. [He pointed to a large field on the opposite slow which appeared to consist of a surface of flints, through which thistle, dock and ragwort thrust their ugly head Can you imagine what happened there?

Mr. Crossmons: No, but do you tell us. 1 Labour Party is always glad of information which assist it in the framing of its agricultural policy.

MR. Longpast: Well, a new owner recently bout this stretch of the Downs. He was a gentleman in London, farming at a loss in order to reduce amount of income on which he had to pay tax. We he ploughed up the bit you see in front of you applanted linseed, and a first-rate crop he got; for the months the whole slope was blue. The next year planted barley; but the topsoil turned out to be thin to bear a second crop. Now, in the fourth with it is—what you see. What you see can never be brond back into farming use, that is, use for pasture whis its right use, until it has been cleared and clean reploughed and seeded down to a new grass ley, a pretty penny that is going to cost.

ALI: What has been happening there, sir?

He pointed to a deep hollow running into the Dome forming a cup or coomb which, from top to bottom

distance of from five to six hundred feet, was covered ith trees. At least, it had been covered with trees, ut most of them had recently been cut down and were ow lying higgledy-piggledy all over the sides of the bomb, their jagged stumps and truncated limbs jutting tutely to heaven. To and fro across the sides of the bomb ran a number of muddy tracks, in one of which tractor was standing, having sunk up to its axles the mud of a July thunderstorm.

Mr. Longpast: More evidence of progress. That omb is one of many which run into the Downs in ese parts-hangers, we call them-and was once wered with beeches. They were a noble sight, their unks rising straight like pillars, their tops a tracery varied shades of green. To walk among them was ce being in a cathedral lit by a dim green light. Well, me business syndicate sent down their agent, Mr. ainchance, who bought them for a song, and then cut em down. Cutting them down was easy enough, but tting them away, when they had been cut down, rned out to be very much more difficult owing to the epness of the slope. Lorries couldn't get up the slope, ictors stuck in the mud. So there the trunks are and likely to remain, while the hanger will be given over scrub and weeds-another monument to the march urban progress in the country.

Mr. Crossmons: You needn't be so hard on us. ir economic situation is pretty desperate and we ve to make what we can out of our national assets. me of the uses to which we are compelled to put am are new and we are bound to make mistakes, but

by and large you wouldn't object to our cutting $do_{\overline{W}}$ valuable timber, would you?

MR. HIGHBROW: Great trees elevate the soul at turn the mind to God. When they are placed in situations of commanding eminence, which those who planted them in the eighteenth century invariable chose, they should be left to stand, whatever the plight of the country. Man, after all, is not just belly to be filled; he has a spirit which requires the sustenance of beauty.

MR. Longpast: And so they will be left to star in the places which everybody knows, that is to a in those places which have come to be regarded beauty spots—for example, Chanctonbury Ring Chanctonbury Ring will stand, but the Bishop's Ring above Dunston, which townspeople don't know, already threatened; and the beech clump on the behind Fernhurst, which the Saxons are said to have das a temple, has already gone. That sort of this is happening all over the country which, at the presente of progress, will end as a wasteland stretch from London to the coast, studded with a few mumified beauty spots, complete with picture postcar mineral waters, "cuppas" and ice-cream sold by served rustics in smocks.

As they continued their walk the woods parted reveal a great prospect northward over the Wei Fields, copses threaded by little streams, old far houses whose roofs were covered with lichen, and gatrees standing solidly in their fields, with every mand then a Lombardy poplar like an exclamation man

, point the beauty of the scene, constituted a prospect , near perfection as the heart could wish. Certainly seemed so to Mr. Highbrow, who pronounced it one the most perfect prospects in the south of England. "It was certainly, but isn't," said Mr. Longpast. Pray suspend your verdict till we get through this ood in front of us." They plunged into a beech wood, readed their way through and emerged on the far de to another view of the same wide prospect. " Now ok, first, this way," said Mr. Longpast. "This way" as towards a rounded summit lying immediately to e south. "What, pray, do you see? Dew ponds, green rf, lambs feeding on it, larks rising from it, in fact e traditional Downland sights? Of course you don't." hat they in fact saw was the débris of a camp, halfsmantled buildings, trailing strands of barbed wire, agths of rusting railway track, great lumps of crumbig concrete, the remains of occupation by the Service epartments who, as Mr. Longpast was careful to plain, are the greatest destroyers yet to appear in e countryside. Soldiers, he said, create more devastam, create it more quickly and over a larger area than y other living organisation with the exception of ultry.

Mr. HIGHBROW: Has there, then, been no attempt clear the mess up?

MR. LONGPAST: None, as far as I know. It has been re since the war and that is six years ago. Now look er there. [He pointed to the Weald below.] On this le they saw rows of new houses with staring pinked roofs breaking out on the surface of the green,

for all the world as if the earth had caught eczema and was coming out in a rash.

Mr. Crossmons: Council houses, I suppose.

MR. LONGPAST: Council houses indeed, replete, have no doubt, with every convenience in the way of modern plumbing, built-in cupboards, plate racks draining boards, washing-up machines, airing cupboards and, for all I know, even refrigerators, that the wits of Americans have been able to devise, but, never theless, shattering the beauty of the countryside beyond repair.

MR. HIGHBROW: That, surely, is an exaggeration hadn't even noticed them.

MR. LONGPAST: You wouldn't. Your head was a the air, your thoughts dwelt upon reality.

Mr. Crossmons: But how, pray, do you propose to house the rural population if not in trim little house such as these? We all know how utterly uncomfortable unhealthy and insanitary were the picturesque contages of the past which those who don't have to live in them so much admire. You aren't going to tell must that you want people to be crippled by rheumatise and arthritis before their time, as are most of the dwellers in the traditional thatched cottage. If you don't, how, I repeat, do you propose to re-house the rural population?

Mr. Longpast: I don't propose anything.

MR. CROSSMONS: Then what are you going to with them?

MR. LONGPAST: Do with them? Why, sir, nothing and I my brother's keeper? I don't think they ought to be

A Walk on the Downs

MR. CROSSMONS: What do you mean by "ought ot to be"? There they are; they can't help themselves.

MR. LONGPAST: Yes they can, or rather, their arents, who should have used birth-control, could. ut since they didn't and children were born to them, ney ought to have been got out of the way with all ossible despatch.

Mr. Crossmons: What on earth do you mean, sir? Mr. Longpast: Why, sent abroad, of course. It's plain as the nose on your face that there are far too any people in this country. Consider the figures. uring most of our history we have been—I am eaking of England and Wales—about six million roons. In 1800 we were between eight and nine. In 00, as the result of a hundred years of industrial volution, we were between thirty-two and thirty-ree. To-day we are forty-three. If we go on increasing the present rate, by the end of the century we shall over fifty millions, packed together in this relatively tall island without the slightest prospect of being le to feed ourselves. Now what on earth is the point being so many?

All: Perhaps, sir, it is because you want to be ong so that you can fight your enemies in war.

MR. LONGPAST: But, my dear Ali, you must know at numbers without space are now a handicap in r. The next war will be fought by radar-directed effectiles and atom bombs. Close-packed populations er the best possible target for both. Hence the more sterland you have to disperse in the better. Well, w much room is there for dispersal in England?

A Walk on the Downs

MR. CROSSMONS: But whatever may be the military aspect of the matter, what you suggest is bad economics. Ever since we took the lead in the industrial revolution and our population grew and multiplied, we have had to export great quantities of manufactured goods in order to buy imports of food with which to feed them and of raw materials to keep our factories going Hence, we have to have multitudes of workers in industry in order to maintain a high rate of production

MR. Longpast: In other words, we have got to have a large population in order to enable us to main tain a large population. But suppose you had a small population—went back, for example, to the eight millions of the late eighteenth century—then we could feed ourselves on food produced at home and need no export manufactured goods in order to buy food abroad. Consider how much better people ate what they lived on food produced at home. Reflect, for example, upon the feeding in Parson Woodforde's Diaries, in Peacock's novels, or even in Dickens's Compare that with our miserable diet to-day when man will eat less meat in a week than his grandfathe ate at a meal.

Mr. Highbrow: Longpast, you dwell too much of food. Nevertheless I, too, think that the population could with advantage be reduced, though for other and, I submit, better reasons. When you live in crowd, you have few opportunities for solitude and without solitude the spirit cannot expand. You must be alone to meditate. How can you listen to the must of the spheres in the rough and tumble of the London

A Walk on the Downs

wush-hour? If you have been jostled and bustled on wour twice-a-day journey from home to office and office home, you never have time to recover yourself or nter into possession of yourself. When the mud is tirred, the soul can no longer reflect the stars.

Mr. Longpast: I am surprised at you, Highbrow. You should consider, rather, how great are the conceniences with which science has invested modern transport. Because of science we can now all travel the greatest possible distances in the greatest possible discomfort, and do.

Mr. Crossmons: Talking of diminishing—or inteasing—the population, who are these two riding twards us?

ALI: Is not the gentleman Capt. Poynter?

MR. LONGPAST: Yes, Nellie and Jack. So it is. oynter is something of a celebrity. Although he shoots ardly at all, and very badly at that, he breeds and rains gun dogs on a scale which enables him to cut uite a figure. At the moment he seems to be under the appression he is captivating my niece.

II

The Lesser Breeds

Nellie Longpast, or Nellie Smart as she should strict be called, though it was some time since she had be seen with her most-recent husband, was Mr. Longpast niece, and as he had for many years been her guard he now punctuated her marriages by reassuming relationship but not the responsibility. She gre up a beauty with a trim figure, a lovely complex and a pair of deep-blue eyes which opened will upon you with an air of seeming innocence while nothing in either the nature or the experience their owner could be said to justify. Mr. Longpa had brought her up on a gospel of strenuous endeavo —he wanted her to win a scholarship to Oxford a perhaps go into politics later; his secret hope was follow vicariously in her the political career he h missed himself-with the result that comparative early in life she had made up her mind that she wou get through it with as little work as she could reason ably contrive, which meant that the only way making a living she was prepared to tolerate was up the earnings of some man. Provided that the earning were high enough, she was quite prepared to man

m, if necessary, in order to share them. She was etty enough at one time to have had—and taken—r pick of a considerable number of well-to-do young ntemporaries.

Christopher Smart, the current husband, earned thing at all, but had inherited a large income from ; forebears who had done very well for themselves importers, though what they imported Mr. Longpast discovered, Nellie had forgotten d never ristopher had probably never known. It was fortunate that he should not have been an equally gible partner on other grounds, but he was lazy, npish, apathetic and totally without conversation. he were a fish, Nellie," Mr. Longpast had said en Smart was discussed as a possible husband, he were a fish I'd put him back." Nellie had rried him nevertheless, and had for some years orously co-operated with him in the spending of not inconsiderable capital, even if she had corated in nothing else. When asked if marrying for ney had made her happy-since it was, after all, accountable to those who knew Christopher Smart t she should have married him for any other reasonsaid that even if the money didn't make her happy, ad at least enabled her to be miserable in comfort. heir co-operative efforts to diminish the Smart :une had been on the whole so successful that there now very little left, and Nellie had for some time t been allowing her fancy to stray in other directions. keen shot, she had struck up a friendship with the isible Poynter and how far matters had gone

between them was arousing the usual measure curiosity. From the point of view of physique the were admirably matched, Capt. Poynter, black-haire bronzed, broad and burly, was handsome enough turn any girl's head. He was popular and well respect in the district; but his interests were narrow. Outs dogs and shooting he had no conversation, and as m Longpast did not fail to point out to his niece, a success sion of tête-à-têtes with him on long winter evening would bore her beyond endurance. Capt. Poynter might he hinted, be a past master in the art of love, but even in the country one couldn't decently go to until half-past nine, and from four-thirty when began to get dark to nine-thirty was a long strein "It's all very well," Mr. Longpast had said, "to me him privily in the local pub. The circumstances notoriously glamorous and no doubt you get a lot of citement from the furtivity, but it won't be the sail thing at all when you regularise your association, Poynter marries you, or at any rate sets up house wi you, you will have nowhere to spend your evenings."

How far these warnings had been heeded Mr. Los past did not know, but Nellie, who had now be living for some months at Folly Farm, continued absent herself from it for long afternoons and evening One afternoon, shortly after her ride on the Down she was walking with Capt. Poynter along a footput that ran along the banks of the river that threaded farm. The course of this footpath was enchanting one side ran the slow-moving river, fringed with ald and willows, and at this time of the year gay we

osestrife and meadowsweet. Along its quiet reaches ater birds, moorhens and coots and mallards, flew and am and splashed, while every now and then a rare sh of silver and blue proclaimed the passage of a agfisher.

On the other side a steeply-rising wooded slope, own locally as a hanger, was punctually adorned ar after year with the most lavish succession of wild wers. Snowdrops, celandines, and daffodils, followed primroses, bluebells and campions, succeeded one other in the richest profusion, the procession being mded off by a display of foxgloves so rich and lush it the whole of the side of the hanger was bathed a translucent pink glow. The foxgloves were just ning into flower as Nellie and Capt. Poynter went ng this path, talking carnestly of what they should do. ould they live together in the district and outface olic opinion? Should they leave the district and live ether where they were unknown? Should they conie as they were, meeting clandestinely, or should they ak off their relationship altogether? There seemed to nsuperable objections to each and all of these courses. radually the sides of the hanger flattened out and hanger itself was replaced by a field of sugar beet, arated from the path by a thick-set thorn hedge. Nellie and Capt. Poynter walked along this path veen the hedge and the river, they heard voices a the other side of the hedge, voices apparently aged in earnest argument. Bending down and peerthrough the lower part of the hedge, they saw two Ir. Longpast's students with hoes in their hands

singling rows of sugar-beet. Of these Mr. Jones was Welshman who, with all the eloquence of his country men, was inveighing against war and Empire. The other Michael Whiteman, was vigorously expostulating and defending the British political verities, including the Britisher's native right to rule over natives.

JONES: In this matter, reason, morality and produce for once go hand in hand. Reason points of that, if one nation has a quarrel with another nation it is irrational to endeavour to demonstrate the superorightness of your cause by killing off as many of the other side as you possibly can; since, if you succeed killing off more of them than they of you, all you have in fact succeeded in demonstrating is your superoright, and even you, I would take it, haven't yet go to the stage of identifying right with might.

WHITEMAN: I think it is right to try to present yourself and your family and to defend your way of life, and I think it is right to be strong in order to do so If that is what you mean by saying that might is right then might is right, and quite rightly.

Jones: But what has superior efficiency in killing which is what being strong means, to do with right Murder, which is the killing of our fellow men, we know to be wrong. It doesn't suddenly become right because it is committed by order of the State upon the persons of other human beings whom you have never seen and with whom you have, therefore, no quarrely which is where morality joins hands with reason.

WHITEMAN: But suppose the other human being happen to be bandits or rebels and are threaten

aw and order by revolting against the authority of he Government.

Jones: Do you perhaps mean the subject peoples four Empire who are trying to liberate themselves rom what they conceive to be an alien rule and to ecome independent?

WHITEMAN: I mean offenders against law and order f all kinds—for example, the bandits in Malaya. I mintain—and everybody would agree with me—that he Government has a right to put them down in the sterests of preserving peace.

Jones: But why should they be called rebels and andits? They didn't ask us to come to their country in the rule it and exploit it. We came without so much a by your leave and took it over by force; that is, a took it over because we had superior weapons. Why, en, because they now want to run their country emselves, should we call them rebels?

WHITEMAN: Because we are the Government and my are not. And, what's more, we're jolly well going stay the Government.

Jones: But if they succeeded in turning us out they all be the Government and a patriotic Government to the bargain.

"The rebel is the patriot who fails."
The patriot is the rebel who prevails."

VHITEMAN: Well, all I can say is they are jolly well going to prevail. We have the guns, the tanks and planes and we can always stop that sort of nonsense.

Jones: As we stopped it in India, as we are stopping it in Malaya, and as the French are stopping in Indo-China—by our superior efficiency in the arof slaughter? Sorry, but I couldn't resist saying that

What you seem to me to be saying, and all that you seem to be saying, is that we have or ought to have the power because we have the weapons, and who sort of power is that, I should like to know?

WHITEMAN: The power to govern undeveloped peoples for their own good.

Jones: In other words, the power of the bully and the blackmailer—'Do as I say; if you don't, I will blow your cities to pieces, murder your people, rape you women.' When we come down to rock bottom, the power of superior force is simply the power to make other people do your will by inflicting gross physical agony on them, if they don't. Not a very winsom attribute, nor, one would have thought, very appropriate in a Christian people, officially committed to Jesus's gospel of love for their fellow men.

WHITEMAN: Please leave religion out of it—not but what it fits in perfectly well, because, after all, we all in Malaya and other places for the people's good is we who irrigate their lands, drain their swamp cure their malaria, throw bridges over their gorgal lengthen their lives, keep their babies and their mother alive in childbirth, preserve their cattle by destroyn the tsetse fly. . . . There is no end to the benefit that our civilisation brings them.

Jones: Strange, then, that they should be so anxiet to be rid of us. Do you recall, for example, the anxiet

f the Indians, to whom, after years of irrigation and minine, you might have supposed that we would have adeared ourselves?

WHITEMAN: Ungrateful devils! Don't know what's nod for them! Been quarrelling among themselves rer since our blasted Labour Government scuttled to ship and cleared out. Just what you'd expect.

Jones: What, the scuttling by the Labour Governent or the quarrelling among the Indians?

WHITEMAN (with a comprehensive sweep of the ind): Both.

Jones: But, seriously, do you think it is ethically mirable to hold down other people by force?

CAPT. POYNTER (from behind the hedge): My od, just listen to him, the eanting hypocrite!

WHITEMAN: I don't know anything about that. ople have always done it, usually, I suppose, to ther their own interests by increasing their wealth d power. We are showing them a superior way of we are civilising them.

Jones: Be careful or you will find yourself saying it we are "liberating" them next. But would you iously consider this a sufficient excuse for breaking o another man's house and establishing yourself re—I mean that you were proposing to exhibit to a a superior way of life, were going, in fact, to ilise him? Suppose he didn't want to be civilised. Whiteman: Well, he jolly well ought to want to, I to be made to, if he doesn't. But the analogy sn't hold, anyway, because nobody's advocating isebreaking.

31

Mr. Longpast had been taking his afternoon walk round the farm and had for the last few minutes been listening to the duologue of the putative sugar-been singlers who, for their part, had been much too bus with their discussion to notice him until he intervene with a challenge to Michael.

"Are you," he asked, "certain that our civilisation is so superior? What are its outstanding product Plumbing, cars, refrigerators, radio, television, gadon generally. Is it not possible for you to conceive of people to whom these make little or no appeal? And what is all this talk about medicine and malaria marsh draining? Stinks and fever are not all. Thou the western world is cleaner than it has ever be and more sanitary, it is also much noisier. Look our refined women opening tins in their hygien kitchens, where all the pans are clean and all the for is tasteless; turning up their foolish noses at the leaf suggestion of a smell and looking down them at the Middle Ages just because they had no drains, at the very moment when they are acquiescing without turing a hair at every conceivable assault upon the sen of hearing.

Our civilisation may not stink, but what with the radio, the gramophone, the gas engine and the etempthrob of internal combustion engines of all sorts, to speak of the screaming, shouting and gushing the emancipated women, it was never so noisy.

WHITEMAN: I think, sir, if you will forgive me saying so, you are straying a bit from the point. We were talking about whether we ought to maintain

ifficiently strong army, navy and air force to guarantee to protection of our Empire. I was saying that our vilisation was the highest in the world and that it as our duty to make its benefits available to less weloped peoples. Surely you agree with that.

Mr. Longpast: Well, I suppose our civilisation has en responsible for destroying more of its members, r destroying them in a shorter time, from longer stances, higher altitudes and in more ingenious and, make no doubt, painful ways than any other. Is is the model you would hold up? Are these the nefits you would wish to spread?

WHITEMAN: War, no doubt, is the great scourge of e western world, but surely you would agree that e two wars we fought were necessary. They were ught to preserve our liberties and the British way of e whose superiority they so convincingly demonrated.

Mr. Longpast: Fiddlesticks! They demonstrated thing but our superior ability in organising the mass aughter of our fellow human beings. As to their being cessary, do you consider that there is more liberty the world, and that the British way of life stands ore unchallenged to-day than before they were ught? All wars are professedly fought for abstract eals by means of which millions seek to rationalise dexcuse their primitive ferocity.

WHITEMAN: What else should they be fought for?
MR. LONGPAST: For survival, for land and for od. Don't you know the story of the cannibal chief whom a missionary was commending the white

man's civilisation on precisely the grounds which yo have brought forward, namely that it was superior this own, and the chief replied that his people killer for a good reason, because they were hungry, but appeared to him that white men killed people who they didn't want to eat. Could a civilisation, he wanted to know, really be superior that killed other people that weren't necessary for its sustenance, just for full as it were.

WHITEMAN: You are pleased to be facetious, $_{\text{NI}}$ at our expense. But what does all this tend to? W₀ you give up the Empire and get out?

Mr. Longpast: Certainly.

This was too much for Capt. Poynter who all to time had been simmering on the other side of the hedge, rumbling with indignation as if he were to national bowels. He broke through the hedge as if had been made of paper and presented himself, but necked and red-faced, before the astonished eyes the disputants. Turning angrily on Mr. Longpast, asked him what the devil he meant by it. "Mean what?" asked that surprised gentleman.

CAPT. POYNTER: Why, by talking this testical nonsense about the Empire! Give up the Empire, the Empire which our ancestors fought to win!

MR. LONGPAST: Facing innumerable odds, leave their bones on stricken fields, cementing with blo and sweat the bonds of Empire, bearing the who man's burden and all that, eh?

CAPT. POYNTER: It pleases you to be facetion at the Empire's expense, but if history, the history

thing to you, haven't you ever asked yourself what puld happen if we ratted and cleared out as the abour Government has ratted and cleared out of dia?

Mr. Longrast: I have no idea, I'm sure.

CAPT. POYNTER: Why, the Russians would move, of course. But, anyway, who are you, I'd like to low, to give away the Empire? What the hell have u to do with it one way or the other? I'd thank you keep your hands off it.

Mr. Longrast: Well, what, if it comes to that, are u doing with my niece? Who, I would like to w, are you to go strolling about the farm, skulking hind hedges and then breaking them down? Without wising you to keep your hands off her, I've half a ind to ask you your intentions.

CAPT. POYNTER (taken aback): My intentions? hat do you mean?

MR. LONGPAST: Well, do you, for example, intend behave honourably by her, or do you intend to act to what used to be called a gentleman? After all, e has got a husband.

Nellie: Uncle, don't be tiresome. You know I am ite well able to look after myself.

Mr. Longpast: I know you are, my dear; besides, would be idle for me to pretend that I would care ry much, if you weren't. I have always believed in ting the young make a mess of their own lives in eir own way, if they insisted on it. But really, your utting turkey-cock of a friend was taking such

a very high moral line about the Empire that I could resist it. [Turning to Capt. Poynter.] Please overlomy ill-timed intervention on behalf of my nice I withdraw, I apologise. I fully admit that she was worth it.

CAPT. POYNTER: Really, sir, that's quite all right Anyway, let me assure you that I mean no harm Mrs. Smart.

Nellie: Nonsense, you know that you do.

CAPT. POYNTER: Really, Nellie, my dear, shouldn't say such things.

Mr. Longpast: This is most embarrassing. I my prefer the Empire as a subject of conversation. Sine Captain, you are so deeply sensitive to our nation interests, permit me to ask you a couple of question First, from what you said, I deduce that you are concerned not only for "the lesser breeds without the law but also for the welfare of the people of these island Not only do you care for the English but you admit them. Not to put too fine a point on it, you think are the cat's whiskers.

CAPT. POYNTER: I don't want to boast, but, s what you like, for "guts", for reliability, for hone decent living, there is nobody to hold a candle to: Englishman.

Mr. Longpast: Quite so. Then you would wish these men, so brave, so reliable, so decent, the blives that it is possible for young men to live.

WHITEMAN: Of course I would—not that under present Government there's much chance for a your man in England to-day.

MR. LONGPAST: Possibly, possibly not. But why, en, do you doom so many of them to lead such wrible lives?

CAPT. POYNTER: I don't know what you are sting at. I want young Englishmen to lead horrible ves! I want no such thing. Have you gone out of your nses?

MR. LONGPAST: But don't you? Consider the Emre. Much of it lies in the tropics; the climate is tally unsuited to northern Europeans; they catch alaria and dysentery, they get heat stroke, their ers get out of order, they dry up and turn yellow. The eye of anybody who has been brought up in igland the countryside is usually appalling; most of is either jungle or desert; there is no grass that anydy can call grass; it is horribly hot, and the air is fested by the most loathsome insects.

But it is the Empire, and the Empire, you say, must maintained. So you take hundreds, thousands, nay ndreds of thousands of young Englishmen, you take em from their homes and their friends and their tural environment, and you put them down to work these dreadful places where you leave them to simer and stew. There they are, sweltering in the heat, ilding bridges, making roads, planting trees, clearing agles, running plantations. Or, more precisely, they ordering about gangs of black labourers who are ilding the bridges, making the roads, planting the es, clearing the jungles, working in the plantations. hen away from their kind, these young men are not naturally bored and lonely, so they go to the club

where they drink too much gin. All too soon they begin to coarsen, they grow tough; they swop dirts stories; they talk too much; they become club boxes Over and over again I have seen nice young men, fresh and unspoiled, going out to one of these places, going say, to West or to East Africa, or going in the days to Burma. On each successive "leave" I have seen their deterioration. Each successive leave the are yellower and more irritable; they drink more: the boast more; they throw their weight about more. early innocence and charm gradually fade. At home they don't fit into the English society and they know it. If they are married, it's worse, for the climate usually so bad that they have to send their children back to England to be educated. Either their work go back with the children and they live lonely, deprive lives, or they don't and there are partings and hear break all round, with the children spending that holidays at school or with strangers. Now why, I want to know, should you condemn so many of our your people to this sort of thing?

CAPT. POYNTER: It is part of the burden of la pire that we have to bear.

MR. LONGPAST: But why have we? Have the Danes an Empire, or the Swedes? They have make Yet as far as I know, they manage very well without one, and while the young man who is so unfortunated as to happen to be English is sent to rot abroad order, as you say, to maintain the Empire, the young Dane or the young Swede stays at home in his of home among his own people in a climate that sufficiently sufficient

him, and lives with his wife and children. How much better to be a young Dane or a young Swede without an Empire. Now why, I want to know, do you deliberately choose for your countrymen, many of them at the first blossoming of their young manhood, a worse life than is lived by young Danes or young Swedes?—or, may I add, young Germans or young Italians or young Americans, none of whom have any Empire worth speaking of and can leave their youngsters in peace?

CAPT. POYNTER: You miss the obvious point, which is that greatness carries its responsibilities which we have to shoulder. To shirk them would be a sign of softness; if we did shirk them, we should be a decaying people. As it is, the Empire is a sort of training ground. It gives our young men valuable administrative experience. It teaches them the rule of other men.

Mr. Longpast: Do you really mean administrative experience, which they could get just as well at home, or do you in fact mean, as your last words suggest, experience in ruling, that is in ordering other people about?

CAPT. POYNTER: Well, of course, ruling is involved. A white man ought to be able to give orders and get himself obeyed.

Mr. LONGPAST: Why ought he?

CAPT. POYNTER: So that he may be fit to rule the Empire when his time comes.

MR. LONGPAST: So that's it, is it? You must first have an Empire in order to train people in ruling,

and people must then be trained in ruling other people in order that they may be able to run the Empire. But if there is no Empire there is no need to acquire experience in ruling other people against their will. And what you haven't explained to me is what necessity there is either for Empire or for ruling. Who said that we must rule the blacks or the Egyptians or the Indians? Did they originally ask us to go to their countries and shoulder this burden? Haven't most of them, on the contrary, been only too anxious to get rid of us?

Nellie: You know, Jack, that's quite right. I had to break things off with two of my nicest husbands because each of them had to go to some frightful place-one was to Siam and the other to the Gold Coast—to build railways or something of the kind, and of course I wouldn't go with them. They couldn't very well expect it. As uncle says, why should we send our young men into exile?

Mr. Longpast: Then there is another thing—you will remember I had a second question to ask you Having an Empire is now so terribly dangerous.

WHITEMAN: What do you mean, sir?

Mr. Longpast: Well, it makes it reasonably certain that you will be involved in any war that happen to be going.

WHITEMAN: Why so?

Mr. Longpast: Because your Empire excites the cupidity of others and you have to maintain a large number of troops, not to speak of ships and aircraft to protect it. Now, having a large army, a large navy

nd a large air force are far and away the best recipe or being involved in a modern war.

WHITEMAN: But that, sir, if you will excuse me aying so, is nonsense. The only way to keep the peace to be strongly prepared for war so that, if your eighbours attack you, you can defend yourself. When hey know that, they won't attack you.

Mr. Longpast: I suppose that to be really rockottom safe it would be an advantage to be actually ronger than any of your neighbours.

CAPT. POYNTER: Of course. To be so much ronger than anybody else that nobody dare attack pu—that's the thing.

MR. LONGPAST: Is that the advice you would have yen to Germany, say, in the past, or to Russia now? CAPT. POYNTER: I'm not talking of them.

MR. LONGPAST: Well, it strikes me that if this is the right advice to give to one nation, our own for seping us out of war, it must also be the right advice to give to all nations to keep them out of war. Or do not suppose that there is something peculiar and stinctive about the English nation as a result of hich the laws which are applicable to it are applicable no other?

CAPT. POYNTER: No, of course it applies to every-dy.

Mr. Longpast: What, then, does this come to? ere we all are, all the nations of the world, won-ring how we shall be secure, and live at peace, and ur advice to us is that we shall all be secure and to at peace when each of us is so much stronger than

any of the others that nobody dare attack anybodelse.

But apart from its logical absurdity, which I am quite prepared to believe may not strike you, hasn't the fallacy of what you propose been exposed twice already in my lifetime? Do you, after the experience of the last fifty years, still believe in being prepared for war as a recipe for avoiding it? I was brought to think as you do. I can remember, for example, maiden aunt telling me in 1913, when battleships wed "all the go", how "We must build our fleet up to wn they said they'd build theirs up to, if we build our up." But after a lifetime of seeing expenditure on wall preparations overtop year by year expenditure on any other single item—and at times all the other items put together—a lifetime of being continually told the we must build more battleships than Germany of more bombers than Germany or more fighters than Germany or more jets than Russia or more atom bombs than Russia, a lifetime which, in spite of ou invariable acceptance of these injunctions, has see the western world, including my own country which if you are right, ought to have been trebly securi engulfed in the two most terrible wars in history, and sees us now visibly overshadowed by the immined. prospect of another-after a lifetime, I say, of seeing these things, I simply can't subscribe to this doctring any more. But tell me, does history have no effect in on you at all?

CAPT. POYNTER: I'm no great reader myself. What history has taught me is that the weak go to the wall

MR. LONGPAST: A well-known doctrine but, I ssure you, fallacious. I will show you why in a moment. mentioned history only because I think that history takes it plain that wars scarcely, if ever, produce the esults expected of them. You expect "pie in the sky" the form of some Utopia—"a world fit for heroes", omes for everybody, jobs for everybody, universal isarmament, and so on, but all you get, as somebody aid of the mild wars of the eighteenth century, are ridows, taxes and wooden legs.

JONES: I once read a book by Norman Angell which howed that whoever might be the victors in a modern ar, all the nations were, in fact, the losers, because the modern world is so completely one that an ijury to one is, as Christ taught, literally an injury to ll.

Mr. Longpast: That's true enough, and Angell was very far-sighted man. There are, it is obvious, no letors in a modern war. But have you noticed, Poynter, ith what paradoxical regularity wars produce not lerely bad consequences, but consequences which re precisely the reverse of those which the people ho went to war desired or intended.

CAPT. POYNTER: We intended victory over Gerany and we got it.

Mr. Longpast: Agreed, my dear chap, but that, rely, isn't the end of the story. Since you will insist a taking our own country as your example, who was who chiefly promoted and encouraged and decided pon the war of 1914–18? The Conservative and Liberal arties; Labour, you will remember, was lukewarm

when it was not actively Pacifist. Well, the effect of the war was to destroy the Liberal Party past recall and to destroy the whole order of society for which the Conservatives stood. Pacifist Labour, on the other hand, found that it gave an enormous fillip to the cause of Socialism.

Or take the 1939-45 war. Fought ostensibly and, think, genuinely for freedom, at any rate by us—the Nazi thing was horrible and I, for one, tried to go into the Home Guard in order to do my bit to fightigate it succeeded in its intention so far as the Nazis we concerned, only to see the triumph of a semi-Orient despotism and the blotting out of freedom over he the world.

CAPT. POYNTER: You have a good deal to say for yourself, but one thing you don't say. What else there? You keep generalising in the abstract be coming down to cold pie, do you think that we shoul leave ourselves defenceless, at the mercy of whose chooses to attack us?

MR. LONGPAST: I do think that to have the course to be weak is to-day the best policy for a nation, precisely because it is the safest. Unfortunately, successful operation depends, as I hinted above, upour first getting rid of the Empire.

WHITEMAN: Sir, if I may say so, what an ext ordinary statement to make. How on earth can y say such a thing?

MR. LONGPAST: Well, look again at the teaching of history. Which throughout history, the history Europe at any rate, the only one I know anything about 100 per second 100

thich have been the nations who are always at war? The heavily armed nations, Russia and Prussia, Gernany and France and England, and at one time when hey were heavily armed, Sweden, Italy and Spain. These are the names that occur again and again in he history books as nations attacking, as nations being ttacked, as nations forming alliances to prevent war, ut, as being, nevertheless, constantly engaged in war. Igain and again their lands are overrun, their cities lestroyed, their fields ravaged, their peoples decimated and persecuted. These, then [Mr. Longpast grew mphatic] are the nations who were so nervous about heir safety that they thought they could never be efended strongly enough.

And which, on the other hand, are the nations whose ames are comparatively absent from the bloody nals of military history? The small, comparatively ndefended nations, Switzerland and Portugal and reland and Norway and Denmark.

Now in the modern world, the world which, as we re continually being told, is a single whole, in which, s Jones has just reminded us, the nations are veritably lembers of one another, these lessons of history are nderlined. Let us suppose that war breaks out. Which re the nations which have absolutely no chance of eeping out? Alas, we all know the answer. They are ussia and France and Germany and America and, bove all, ourselves. All these, if war comes, are "for". Which, on the other hand, are the nations which are at least a sporting chance of keeping out?

Whiteman: None. I should think.

MR. LONGPAST: I'm not so sure. If the last two wars are any guide, we should expect to find a number of small nations left out; in the last war, for example Sweden and Switzerland, Portugal and Eirc. Hence, if you are small and comparatively undefended, you have at least a chance of avoiding war; if large and we defended, no chance at all.

CAPT. POYNTER: What about Norway and Demark and Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia and the Balkan States? All were small and all were overwand occupied.

Mr. Longpast: Just a moment. Denmark got of comparatively lightly. Norway, no doubt, "got if very badly, but then Norway resisted. There is a point in resisting, if you are a small nation. But the I never said that small nations were safe, merely that they had a better chance of safety, whereas the larg ones had none at all.

CAPT. POYNTER: You forget that the great thing is not to keep out of war but to fight if you are attacked. To fight and, if you can, to win.

MR. Longpast: Are you sure? The Russians we attacked; they fought and they won. Yet they suffer more than any other nation with some eight milling casualties and the Lord knows how many torturn and rapings and forced deportations of the population. Wouldn't it have been better for them not to have been in the war at all? You ask the tortured and the raped. And surely the miseries of occupation suffered by non-resisting nation are as nothing to the horn suffered by Eastern Germany when the Russians swe

wer it, carrying off the men to work in mines and orced labour camps and raping the women in their urn.

WHITEMAN: So what?

Mr. Longpast: Why, that the primary duty of a tatesman to-day is to keep his country out of war at Il costs. But it isn't the case to-day, if indeed it ever as, that the best way of doing this is to maintain rege forces with which to defend yourself, since these are the effect of alarming and provoking your neighour. Now the possession of a large Empire involves, I have already said, the maintenance of large forces protect it. Therefore the sooner we are rid of our mpire, the better.

Nellie Smart, who all this time had been sitting on a ste, had grown impatient and, jumping down, seized er uncle by the arm. "Yes, Uncle," she said, "that's nough for now. Indeed, a good deal more than enough, come along at once to the house. The Captain is ming, too," she added, looking him archly in the ice. Capt. Poynter turned to Mr. Longpast. "Sir," e said, "I abominate your opinions but that doesn't tevent me from accepting your niece's very kind witation to come to tea."

III

"Progress"

That part of Hampshire in which Mr. Longpast's ram was situated was just within the nightingale radiu The nightingale, which is nice in its choice of habital confines itself so closely to the Home Counties that might almost be said to have become a suburban bind Bucks know it and Berkshire, and so do the Sussell and Kentish wealds, but its chief resort is Surrey where it makes its home on commons surrounded the residences of commuters, that its chirrupings made be registered by B.B.C. technicians complete with recording units. It thus shows its happy sense of the tendency of the times, which is to bring the things the country ever closer to the towns, and the thing of the town ever closer to the country, until in God good time both town and country are superseded suburban garden cities.

The nightingale does, however, extend his area membrace the extreme east of Hampshire, and during the warm nights of an early May, two pairs were puting up a fine display in the woods surrounding For Farm. The party at the Farm had taken out the chairs to hear them, and were sitting on the far come

of the lawn which was fringed by a copse. Miss Flightly, who had just inveigled the gentlemen into washing up, was sitting with them and descanting on the beauties of the night which was soft and moonless. "So warm," she said it was, "so velvety. And the stars looked like jewels in a black velvet cloth." Miss Flightly had an infallible instinct for the well-worn phrase. By her no stone of speech was left unturned, no avenue of cliché inexplored.

"Wouldn't you say, rather," he remarked, "like the dvertisement signs that flash on and off in Piccadilly lireus, showing bottles pouring synthetic liquids into ewelled cups? So romantic, I always think!"

"Uncle, you are not to mock," remonstrated Nellie.

MR. LONGPAST: How can I help it? I hate this world we are living in and all its works. It touches othing that it doesn't vulgarise.

Miss FLIGHTLY: I don't see what's wrong with he advertising signs. The crowds enjoy them and so lo I.

Mr. Longpast: But what crowds! I can remember then Leicester Square had style; when men in evening ress with opera hats concertinaed under their arms alked the streets, and when properly dressed women eld up their skirts as they passed from carriage to heatre or restaurant. Now the place has become the rey of the working classes. Milk bars have taken the lace of restaurants, cinemas of theatres, while fun airs project their grisly enticements upon the pavenents. Everybody looks alike, talks alike, dresses alike their manners are abominable. Looking at the crowds

on the pavements, you might think you were on Hampstead Heath on Bank Holiday.

MR CROSSMONS: And why not pray? Why should be considered to the constant of th

Mr. Crossmons: And why not, pray? Why shoul the pleasures of the West End be denied to the mass of the people who, incidentally, do the work while make them possible?

MISS FLIGHTLY: I think you are rather hard, so Speaking for myself, I must say I have had some ven nice times in Leicester Square on a Saturday night There are always plenty of boys about ready to give you a drink and a ride in a taxi.

Mr. Longrast: Americans, I suppose, with mong to burn in their pockets. The place is lousy with them propping up the architecture and chewing the cud, at if they were cattle.

Nellie: Well, what's the matter with American Uncle? You always seem to be disapproving of some body or other these days. Anyway, if you don't like the West End, there is no need for you to go there.

MR. CROSSMONS: What he doesn't like is other people enjoying the pleasures which he has outlived So he calls sour grapes at them and pretends the aren't pleasant. You are feeling old, Longpast, that what's the matter with you, you don't like it, and you want to take it out of somebody as usual.

MR. LONGPAST: I see you are all against me, realise I must seem to you a disagreeable old of mudgeon. You say I'm getting old and that, no doub is true. But I should put the trouble differently, should prefer to say that having been adult before 19 I still have some remembrance of quality and a belief

its worth—quality even in amusement. Some leasures I have been taught to think, and am convinced, re better than others; there is such a thing as style, and it is style that seems to me to have gone out of his world of cinemas and milk bars and "quick ones" t the counter. I don't disapprove of people enjoying hemselves in the ways that appeal to them. I only mint out that they aren't such good ways as they might and used to be. A good claret is better than a bad ocktail, even though its appeal isn't so quick and easy. Mr. Crossmons: Still, more people can enjoy the

ocktail. A taste for claret takes time to cultivate, and isn't easy for young people to cultivate it in these xpensive days.

Mr. Longpast: What none of you seem to realise that there are some "goods" which can't be inefinitely extended and remain good, and that this pplies to pleasures.

Mr. Crossmons: That's a cryptic remark. What do ou mean by it?

Mr. Longpast: I mean that the Welfare State which seeks to extend its benefits to everybody, insofar s it succeeds, inevitably debases the value of the enefits.

Nellie: Uncle, what are you talking about? Stop eing so up-stage and give us an example.

Mr. Longpast: Well, take cars. The purpose of a ir is to enable you to travel from place to place nickly and in comfort; and up to a point it succeeds this. But once the point is passed, the point at nich too many people have cars, they get in one

another's way, and you find yourself travelling more slowly than you did before they were invented, while your nerves are frayed to the point of exasperation by blockages and traffic regulations. We have been talking about the West End; reflect upon the misery of driving through the West End on a Saturday night. Well now, in the ultimate development of the Welfare State everybody, I suppose, will have a car, with the result that our roads will be covered by a stationary mass of metal composed of vehicles stretched from John o' Groats to Land's End, in a single, solid, in extricable jam.

Mr. Crossmons: Not a bit of it. We shall have relieved the congestion by helicopters long before that point is reached.

MR. LONGPAST: Only to fill the skies, as we have filled the roads, and to turn both into death-traps.

Or take education. In the old days a few enjoyed high quality education, while the masses received purely utilitarian instruction designed to fit them for some commercial or manual job. Now everybody aim at high quality education, everybody, that is, want his son to go to a grammar school, and many of those who go to grammar schools want to go on to the universities, with the result that the standard good down everywhere and the quality of education is malonger high.

MR. CROSSMONS: Sir, I challenge you to substantial that.

Mr. Longpast: Obvious enough, isn't it? The number that goes to the universities increases far mo

rapidly than the number of staff available to cope with them. Consequently classes grow larger and the personal contact between tutor and student which was the very core and essence of university education as I knew it, has to be abandoned. Or if it isn't quite abandoned, the wretched tutor has to cope with so many students that he grows tired and stale, and because he is always giving out, comes in the end to have nothing left to give. Have you, as a matter of atterest, met in recent years an Oxford tutor towards the end of the Summer Term?

MR. CROSSMONS: I, sir? No.

Mr. Longpast: When you do, he will remind you f nothing so much as a sucked orange. And who can wonder at it when one remembers that the poor devils re responsible for the tutoring of nearly eight thousand indergraduates as against some three thousand in our me. Nor is that all. Increasing numbers of underraduates come from working-class homes in a sweating nxiety to declass themselves; they have no tradition flearning, no background of culture, no intellectual heritance, so that the tutor has to do everything as were from scratch. In London even the pretence has en abandoned and a student's relation to his supersor is limited to going to his lectures in a shoal of Ity, a hundred or even two hundred and taking down he words that fall from his lips. To what, then, does be content of this giving of mass instruction amount? to the passage of a certain amount of examinationally tilitarian information from the notebooks of the eturers to the notebooks of the students without

passing through the minds of either. Now nobody could call that university education. We might as well be in America.

Mr. Crossmons: But how are the masses to be properly educated unless they go to the university? Everybody at any rate should have the chance of going there, and I have no doubt that things will settle down and the numbers of practising teachers will gradually approximate more closely to the numbers of students, until in the end there will be enough.

MR. LONGPAST: But even if we were to grant vol that-though, mind you, I don't-has it occurred to you that there is such a thing as a right size—a right size for a pudding, a play or a poem? Take cooking You know as well as I do that you can't cook a decent meal for more than a dozen persons at the outside Increase the diners beyond that number, and thous you may multiply the cooks, the kitchens, the sauce pans and the fires, you won't get the same result. Well it's like that with a university and still more like that with a college. The right size for a college is about the hundred, which is small enough to enable anybout who wants to know anybody to know him, and land enough for anybody who wants not to be known by somebody successfully to avoid him. Increase the number above that figure and you substituted heterogeneous collection, raw material for statisticiant and Gallup polls, for a collective organism with a mile and spirit of its own.

NELLIE: Mr. Crossmons, I would like to ask yo something.

MR. CROSSMONS: Yes, my dear, what is it?

Nellie: Why do you think that the masses, as you wall them, ought to receive university education?

MR. CROSSMONS: In order that the treasure house of the world's wisdom, knowledge and beauty, of which for so many centuries a tiny proportion of mankind has held the key, may be at last unlocked for the benefit of all. Thus ordinary men will know for the first time what great men and women have said and thought memorably about life, and will be enabled to perceive more beauty, more passion, more scope for their sympathy and understanding in the world than they saw before.

Nellie: You are very eloquent, Mr. Crossmons.

-Mr. Crossmons: It is a theme, my dear young lady, whose inspiration might make anybody eloquent.

NELLIE: But what it comes to is that you think the masses ought to have culture. Now why do you suppose that they want culture or even need it?

Mr. Crossmons: I think that we all of us have an aborn tendency to love the highest according to our ights when we see it. What's more, I think we ought all to be given the chance to see it, which means education.

Nellie (to Miss Flightly): You don't want to be shown the highest, do you Nancy?

NANCY: No I don't. All I want is to have a good ime, which doesn't mean culture as I understand it, but a nice boy to take me about to dances.

NELLIE: You know, Mr. Crossmons, I don't believe nost people do want the highest or, indeed, trouble

themselves about it one way or the other. Look at our own class, most of whose members have enjoyed the educational advantages of which you speak so eloquently. What were the things which you said the cultured do? One was knowing what great men and women have thought and said memorably about lifewell go and look at the members of our class sitting in the evening train from Waterloo to Guildford or from Victoria to Croydon. What are they reading? The evening papers. How many are reading books at all? Five per cent-I counted them the other day. How many are reading great books? A half per cent. As for loving the highest when they see it, they are much more likely to heave a brick at it. Think how they hate the Third Programme; consider the scorn which they feel for the "intellectual"; and with what in flections of fear and contempt do they pronounce the words "brainy" or "highbrow".

MR. LONGPAST: Bravo, Nellie. Of course you're right.
MR. CROSSMONS: Right, maybe, about the bourgeois
middle class who, God knows, are decadent enough
but not about the workers who have always been shu
out from these things.

Mr. Longpast: Am I to understand you to sugges that just because they are born into working-class homes, that is to say, just because their fathers have earned their living by hand and muscle rather that by brain, by sweat rather than by ink, human being nourish a natural longing for the beautiful, the true and the good which is absent from those who come from the middle classes?

MISS FLIGHTLY (To Mr. Crossmons): It isn't true. Il the American boys I have known have liked hot izz and hot dogs and drink whisky. The English boys ilk about football and sport and drink beer, and one of them read anything at all but the comics and imetimes the Sunday paper.

MR. CROSSMONS: They never had the chance of anyning better.

MR. LONGPAST: Come off it, Crossmons! I have no pubt at all that the world which is desired by the ass-conscious miner is very much like the world hich is enjoyed by the Tory ex-mine-owner. The iner has no quarrel with that world. He likes it very ell. All he wants is a different division of its spoils. he workers' idea of Utopia isn't a world made over new in the image of the true, the good and the beauti-1, but a world like this one, with the sole difference at he has stepped into the rich man's shoes. That is e face of his ideal, and it isn't very difficult to disrn its features. A late breakfast of two or three urses, a day devoted largely to watching other people ay games or watching horses or motor cyclists or ogs run races, interspersed with an occasional round golf, dinner in a smart restaurant with plenty of hisky, an evening with a girl at the movies or the xing ring, and a hatred of foreigners, artists, scholars d highbrows. Nothing very much in the way of lture here. Now that, I suggest to you, Crossmons, the ideal of nine Englishmen out of ten, rich or poor, ucated or uneducated.

ALI: It is true, sir.

Mr. Crossmons: Why, Ali, what do you know about it?

ALI: Well, before I came to England I studied English Literature in the University at Lahore, and a very wonderful literature it is, sir, Shakespeare and Milton and Wordsworth and Dr. Johnson and Swift and Sin Thomas Browne—I read them all, sir. And I read some of the philosophers too, Berkeley and Hume and John Stuart Mill. It is wonderful, John Stuart Mill's essay on Liberty. It makes me so happy and it makes my countrymen so happy, but it made us wonder why we couldn't have our own government when we wanted to

Mr. Crossmons: Well, you have now, thanks to the Labour Government.

ALI: I know, sir, and I am sure we are very grateh to your government for letting us have our own. In afraid we were becoming a bit of a handful, sir, own to being kept out of it so long. Perhaps your Government thought we might have got out of hand altogether

Well, sir, as I was saying, through reading all the literature I thought that the English were a very wonderful people, very wonderful indeed. Then, when I first came to England, I stayed in a boarding-house near Paddington. Well, sir, the people! I don't think I was silly enough to expect them to talk quite like Shakes peare and Sir Thomas Browne, or to be deep thinker like Hume or Berkeley, but, sir, I did expect them to be of the same species, so that I could recognise them as being the fellow-countrymen of these great men and the inheritors of their wisdom. But, sir, there was nothing in common—nothing at all. The men talked

bout the weather and sport and football and what hey had read in the papers; the women talked about ress and gossiped about other women and film stars. In, sir, I was surprised; and disappointed, sir.

MR. Longpast: Now Crossmons, you know what he English are really like, straight from the horse's mouth. But to return to the question of the culture-angry workers, the proof of the pudding is in the ating. You have already laid the foundations of your Welfare State, the State which bears upon it the mprint of the workers whose needs it expresses, whose relfare it promotes, tell me frankly what you think it, as far as you have gone. Are you satisfied?

Mr. Crossmons: I think very well of it. I see a mmunity from which gross poverty has disappeared ad in which everybody who wants a job has a job, community in which there is provision for the sick and the aged, in which the unemployed are provided in which children are educated and if necessary by the State. I see people healthier, better fed, letter clothed, than they have ever been. I know the weres which show how much taller and heavier children e. Above all, the gross inequalities and injustices of ociety have been ironed out so that it is no longer me to-day that a baby born in a Durham mining mage has about half the expectation of life of a baby orn in a Bournemouth nursing home. Now all these lings are the result of State action which has been beliberately taken to improve the lot of the people. Mr. Longpast: I dare say, I dare say. You have even them bread of a sort, but at what cost to the spirit.

MR. CROSSMONS: Fiddlesticks, we have liberated their minds and spirits, by freeing them from want and the fear of want.

Mr. Longpast: If you have, you have succeeded in inducing a wonderful uniformity among these liber ated spirits. Never, as I said before, have people been so much alike-wearing the same clothes, behaving with the same manners or the lack of them, thinking the same thoughts, attending the same amusements smoking the same cigarettes, admiring the same film stars and using the same cosmetics, to such an extent that you can excuse a young man to-day for getting engaged to two or three different girls at the same time in the belief that they are all the same girl. Ali was talking a moment ago of John Stuart Mill. Well, think Mill was right when he said that what made life interesting and communities valuable was not unit formity but difference; the difference between man and man, minds and minds, things and things. Now nearly all the things that I knew in my boyhood that made life different and, therefore, interesting, have dis appeared.

NELLIE: What sort of things, Uncle?

Mr. Longrast: Well, I think chiefly of humble little things like winkles for tea; bread—we were speaking, weren't we, of giving the workers bread?—whose crust was burnt. Oh, those delicious black burnt crusts of my boyhood; they were wonderful with the crumb pared off and spread with butter, but real butter not the tasteless mixture you get to-day. Loaves the were different shapes and sizes. Now they all look alike

There were different kinds of cheese, Wensleydale and tilton and Cheddar instead of the everlasting, universal, asteless Canadian mousetrap. There were crumpets for ea. Do you remember, Crossmons, the muffin man going lown the street on a Sunday afternoon?

MR. CROSSMONS: Can you think only of food?

MR. Longpast: All right then. What about footaths—now nearly all ploughed up; green bridle tracks, ow foundrous for the most part and choked with reeds and brambles; country lanes with varied and arying surfaces transformed into the universal motor eads with their universal black tarmac; sheep on the owns; copses with different kinds of trees—in fact I the vast variety of English trees, instead of the niform blankets of regimented conifers planted by the orestry Commission.

MR. CROSSMONS: Well, you still have English trees. MR. LONGPAST: Have we? What we have, and have creasingly, are conifers—pines and Douglas firs and tka spruces, horrible alien things, fit for the Swedish, assian and Canadian countrysides from which, premably, they come. Everywhere the loathed Forestry ommission plants these horrible trees. They blur the ean outlines of the Lakeland hills; they sprout on eir bare slopes till the hillsides look like ill-shaved ins; everywhere they are taking the place of the tive oak and ash and beech. Since the war, the restry Commission has planted ten softwood trees rone hardwood.

Mr. Crossmons: But why this rage against conifers? see no particular harm in them.

``Progress"

Mr. Longpast: A townsman who spends his lit sitting on Committees wouldn't. Well, here are three objections. There is no undergrowth in pine woods no bushes and practically no flowers. There is no animalife in pine woods; pine woods are dead. No bird sing in pine woods; pine woods are silent. When the wood land of England is all pines, you won't hear any nighting gales. Finally, to all appearance, pine woods are the same in spring as they are in autumn; also, though don't expect you to appreciate this, this appearance utterly alien to an English landscape, and ruins it.

MR. CROSSMONS: I think you are harsh and example gerate absurdly. Many people like pine woods-

Mr. Longpast: Only townsmen-

MR. CROSSMONS: And I am sure that there is plent of wild life in them, even though I'm not naturally enough to be able to tell you what it is.

Mr. Longpast: But I can tell you. Take pool Hudson—W. H. Hudson, you know, the great naturalist who wrote that lovely prose—beats Richard Jessen into a cocked hat! Have you read Green Mansion [Mr. Crossmons shook his head] or The Crystal Age [another shake]. You ought to in your rôle of sod planner and reformer. It's the most intelligent vision man's future that has come my way—melanches mysterious, extremely exciting, but above all, beautist Well, as I was saying, when poor Hudson got Is he had to go and live in a sanatorium in a pine woo If there had been any wild life there you can bet yo boots that Hudson would have discovered it, but he could find to observe were the ants.

But talking of visions of the future, shall I reveal you my vision of the future of your Welfare State? MR. CROSSMONS: Some frightful caricature, I don't oubt.

MR. LONGPAST (raptly): I can see an England in hich whatever land is left over from cultivation is overed with a network of golf courses, tennis courts, mning tracks, dirt tracks, speed tracks, aerodromes. elicopter stations or whatever kind of ground the opular sports of the future demand. Our coast will be nged with a continuous series of resorts in which idio and television will discourse negroid music and resent pugilistic encounters to tired "sportsmen" on oliday and their over-nourished wives. Our roads, as said before, will be covered with a single stationary lock of jammed cars stretching from John o' Groats Land's End. A deluge of news, warranted not to xeite thought and carefully chewed so as not to arouse omment, will descend upon the defenceless heads of he community through every device of communication hat the science of the future may have been able to entrive. Probably by that time the doctors will have earned to insert into the skulls of babies small radio ets, so that their minds will be in receipt of constant ntimations from the "authorities" as to what they fould think and not think, what they should like ad not like, what they should feel and not feel. Man, aving plundered nature to satisfy every need of his ody, will have made no provision for the needs of his ul. To minister to these needs innumerable creeds and alts will spring up like mushrooms overnight. I can

63

see long lines of women following Great White Masters into the desert. . . . And just to bring all this back to the point at which we started, scattered here and then through the sprawling suburb studded with preserved beauty spots which stretches from London to the coast, a few commons and copses will be retained in which nightingales will sing, surrounded by your men with radio sets relaying their song to the listening millions. Indeed, I'm not sure that by that time will not have proved possible to present the nighting on TV, though I have some fears lest "viewers"-the is what I believe the addiets of television are called. will be disappointed by such an insignificant-looking little brown bird. Anyway, you will be able to fel comforted about the future of a Welfare State that supports the nightingale.

Mr. Crossmons: You have produced, as I expected nothing but a caricature. It is a caricature because of what it puts in but even more because of what i leaves out.

MR. LONGPAST: What have I left out?

Mr. Crossmons: You have left out all the sol advances we have made during the last fifty years ridding people of want and the fear of want; the in provements in quality and length of life, the freed from illness and fear. What we have done is to enabour great masses of the people to live better, fuller affreer lives than they have ever lived before in thistory of mankind.

MR. LONGPAST (reflectively): I wonder if the true.

MR. CROSSMONS: Of course it's true. Look back over story for a moment and reflect how squalid has been he human lot. Men's lives have been oppressed by mees which they could neither control nor understand. nces of fire and flood, of earthquake and drought. In he sweat of their brows they have wrung a meagre ristence from nature. Or they have toiled long hours field and factory, in mine and workshop, making rofits for somebody else. Most human beings who ave ever lived haven't known where their next meal as coming from. What they have known is that. then it did come, it wouldn't be a square one. Now, hanks to human skill and planning, most of these xternal enemies to men's happiness have been overome or are in a fair way to being overcome. In a undred ways science has lightened and brightened the fe of man. It has enormously increased our output f commodities, our speed of movement and of transort. It has relieved our pain and extended beyond all expectation the span of our lives. It has done much to tee us from disease: it has lighted our homes and aved and drained our streets; it has given us a sanitary wstem-for the first time in history, most human kings have ceased to stink. Above all, it has enabled s to remove the gross injustices of society, so that the exury and ostentation of the few no longer outrage he misery and indigence of the many. Now all these menefits have been made available for the first time or the people of this country as a whole and not for privileged few. We call the process social justice; we peak of "fair shares for all". And that precisely is

what the Welfare State means. You, living outside the stream of present events and knowing little about the contemporary world, say nothing about these things. You omit entirely from your absurd picture any mention of this great advance that has been made in many ease and safety and comfort, and therefore in his free dom to live his life as he pleases.

NELLIE: He is right, Uncle, and very eloquent too MR. LONGPAST (contritely): Well, I suppose that on balance he is; the greatest happiness of the greatest number and a gilded sty for everybody. Well, I suppose that's all they are fit for.

MISS FLIGHTLY: I would like to say something. I heard my grandmother talking the other day—she is a very old lady; eighty-three, and a lot of us were there for her birthday. They were saying, like Mr Crossmons, what wonderful improvements she must have seen in her lifetime—you know, radio, electric light, telephones, roads, motor-cars, hospitals, anaes thetics, and the rest. She was funny about it.

MR. CROSSMONS: What do you mean by "funny" MISS FLIGHTLY: Well, she didn't seem quite so set up by these things as she ought to have been. She said the motor-cars killed a lot of people and brought them swarming out of the towns to make a mess of the country where she lived. She said she was afraid now to go out into the road. Also she said that if it weren't for what she called the petrol engine she didn't suppose there would be any aeroplanes to drop bombs.

NELLIE: I wonder what she had against the telephone?

MISS FLIGHTLY: Somebody asked her that and she aid that it helped idle people to waste a lot of time hattering to each other about nothing; oh yes, and condemned a lot of nice girls to sit down day after lay all day on their backsides with things screwed on heir ears listening to other people's silly talk.

Mr. Crossmons: But surely she must have enjoyed he wireless.

MISS FLIGHTLY: Well, she said she listened to it ometimes, but she didn't like light music and most fit was light music, crooning and so forth. She said; came oozing out of the receiver like a stream of reacle—that was what she said. She complained that here weren't any real tunes such as she used to sing then she was a girl. She wanted to know why to-day here weren't any songs with a good chorus like "Taira-boom-de-ay", "Daisy, Daisy", and "I do like to e beside the Seaside".

MR. CROSSMONS: That seems to me to raise a differnt point. I agree that the light music is hideous. But don't know how even she could find fault with the lectric light. What a lot of labour it saves, and so heap too.

Mr. Longrast: Don't you? Well, I do. It's just the heapness that is the snag. Again and again I have ad about poor devils being held for investigation in risons in Iron Curtain countries, and how one of the mmonest methods of wearing down their nerves and reaking down their resistance is never to let them be the dark. They are put in cells where the pitiless lare of unshaded electric bulbs beats down day and

night. So you see I can perfectly well understand how there can be drawbacks even to electric light. [To Miss Flightly] But didn't your grandmother approve wholed heartedly of anything that has been done in her life, time?

MISS FLIGHTLY: Oh yes, she mentioned several things. One of them was perforated stamps. When she was a girl you bought a big sheet of stamps and then cut the stamps out with a pair of scissors. Perforated stamps, she said, were so much easier and quicker to detach and stick on. Then there were coat-hangers for men's coats. There used to be only those little loops at the back of the coat which are always breaking through and having to be sewn up.

MR. LONGPAST: What else?

MISS FLIGHTLY: Well, she included zip-fasteness which, she said, saved such a lot of sewing on of buttons.

NELLIE: I'm not sure that I agree with her about them; I have known occasions when zip-fasteners have been very inconvenient. I have even known them actively painful.

MISS FLIGHTLY (archly): Well, you must remember dear, that my grandmother is a very old lady and probably doesn't know about the occasions. I don't suppose that she ever did, but if she did, she has for gotten them.

NELLIE (with asperity): How dare you imply I and thinking of what you are thinking of. As a matter of fact, I am thinking of something quite different.

Mr. Crossmons: Ladies, ladies!

Mr. Longpast: Well, I suppose ladies will be ladies. To Miss Flightly] Anything else?

MISS FLIGHTLY: Yes. She said trolley-buses instead f trams—so much quicker and quieter. You see, she sed to live in High Street, Islington, where the trams nade a terrible rattling noise as they went over the points. Such lots of them, too.

And then she had a funny one—ice-cream. That's he way she remembers it—she chuckled when she nentioned it—nice young men in the streets with little larts and tricycles. Delicious, she called it, and so healthy.

Mr. Crossmons: I wonder how much those young men were paid; anyway, it's a damned dull job. I don't think we can pass modern methods of ice-cream distribution as an unmixed blessing, if only because they brought such jobs into existence.

Mr. Longpast: Perhaps not, but it's an interesting list—interesting not so much because of what it puts in, though I must say I was intrigued by the perforated stamps, as because of what it leaves out. None of the big things, the motor, the radio, the cinema, the television, the aeroplane, the refrigerator, the things we say have revolutionised our world, even get a mention. All, presumably, are dismissed as double-edged which, of course, they are. We move here in a world of little, humble things, zip-fasteners and coathangers and perforated stamps. The list makes one pensive.

Mr. Crossmons: What does it make you pensive about?

MR. LONGPAST: Why, progress, of course. Has the world really got better or man's life happier because of his immense cleverness of invention?

Nellie: But Uncle, you shouldn't judge just by one old woman's list. I can think of all sorts of thing that can be added to it.

MR. LONGPAST: Of what, for instance?

Nellie: Well, to add something very much on her own level, what about gum-boots—Wellingtons? What a revolution they have made in farm work. Bill Crane, who is, as you know, nearly seventy-four, told me how nearly every old man in the country when he was a young man, and, indeed, during most of his lifetime, was crippled by rheumatism and arthritis before he was sixty. Why? Because for large parts of their working life they were working with their feet wet. Even the strongest boots and gaiters let in the wet after a time, and, of course, they couldn't afford always to have them strong and new. But now pretty well all the farm workers wear Wellingtons and work with dry feet; as a consequence they don't get rheumatism and arthritis.

Mr. Crossmons: Good for you, Mrs. Smart. Of course you are right, and the illustration is right on the spot.

Nellie: Yes, but that after all is only an example on the level of the old lady's own list. But why should we have to stick to that level? I can think of one thing that has completely altered people's outlook on life and that is the relief of pain. Oh, of course I know that people still have pain but it's nothing to what they used to have. Just take going to the dentist

or example. You yourself have told me, Uncle turning to Mr. Longpast] how you hated gas when you were a boy. They put a sort of rubber bag over your face, didn't they?

MR. LONGPAST: Yes, and it stank abominably.

MISS FLIGHTLY: Well, it's a pleasure now, I assure 701, sir. They send you a pill the night before to give 701 nice dreams; they inject that lovely stuff into your rm so that you feel yourself going to sleep and loving he anaesthetist as you go, and hoping you are going to ake him with you. What they do after that I don't know. Il I do know is that I have lovely dreams, usually of he anaesthetist, and that when I wake up it's all over.

Nellie: Uncle, you must admit that that's an dvance.

MR. LONGPAST: All right, I admit it, though it less seem to engender erotic fervour in our Nancy. But even so and for all that I, for my part, would noner be living sixty years ago before progress really appened.

MR. CROSSMONS: Even if you were working class?
MR. LONGPAST: I can't imagine myself being working class and don't propose to try. And now I will tell on a secret. You may think I have been talking ather a lot. [Polite murmurs of "Certainly not", "Oh o, Uncle, you never do that", "Not at all, Longast".] And talking through my hat.

Mr. Crossmons (sotto voce): That you certainly ave. It's the only use you have for your hats.

MR. LONGPAST: But I assure you that it has all been one for a purpose—making the nightingales sing. They

love the sound of the human voice, and the more y_{0} talk the more they sing. I noticed that they did \sin_{\parallel} beautifully while we have been talking.

MR. CROSSMONS: Perhaps they did. I never hear them owing to the barrage of talk.

MR. LONGPAST: Sorry, I seem to have overdone; this time, but I think I'd better go to bed. It's the village outing to-morrow and I have to be up early.

ALI: Before you go, sir, I'd like to say just one thing. MR. LONGPAST: Oh Ali, I'd forgotten you were there.

Say away. What is it?

All: Well, sir, this outing and fête; it is a holiday is it not?

MR. LONGPAST: Yes it is, Ali.

ALI: Well, sir, there aren't any holidays in Pakistan People are too poor to have them. And, sir, I don't know much about it, but I don't think that there were holidays here, except the few bank-holidays, fifty year ago.

MR. CROSSMONS: There certainly weren't for most people.

ALI (to Mr. Longpast): Well, sir, isn't that advance?

But Mr. Longpast, growling that it depended a what people did with their holidays, was already a his way to bed.

IV

The Fête and the Lunch

The next day, as Mr. Longpast had said, was the day f the village fête or "outing", as it was generally alled. All the party staying at Folly Farm had decided o go, with the exception of Mr. Longpast and his old fiend Mr. Deepfeed who had come down two days before with a somewhat vague notion at the back of is mind of helping on the farm. As, however, Mr. Deepfeed was well on in the fifties, and his major etivities were eating and drinking, the amount of work which he had actually succeeded in doing was not impressive. In view of his age and the nature of hese activities, it would probably in any event have been small, but it so happened that on the morning of his arrival he had met with an unfortunate accident which had kept him out of farm activities and social fe ever since. Asking to be given some light farm work, he was sent out with the tractor and the trailer to rake ip the remnants of a silage mixture which the hay weep had left behind, and to add them to the silage pt-"picking up the rakings", the job was called. Mr. Longpast himself was driving the tractor and trailer which had been fitted with two tall end-pieces to enable

it to be piled high with the silage rakings. Michael Whiteman, who had so vigorously upheld the eternal political verities, the rights of Empire and the ruling mission of the white race against the heresies of Jones was sitting with Mr. Deepfeed on the trailer. As the tractor drove along the side of the field by the hedge the overhanging branch of a tree caught one of the end-pieces and sent it crashing down on the trailer It caught Mr. Deepfeed fair and square on the back of the head, knocking him off the trailer and raising bump the size of a golf ball. Michael, too, was knocked off the trailer and lay on the ground suffering from slight concussion. Mr. Longpast, wholly unaware of what was happening behind him, drove serenely on, leaving the two wounded, as Mr. Deepfeed subsequently remarked, "lying untended on the field as in pre-Florence Nightingale days". When at last they were discovered the two casualties were brought back to the farm and sent to bed. The doctor pronounced that no great harm had been done, but advised total rest for a couple of days, which was the reason for their absence from the group that had out-talked the nightingales on the previous evening.

No one derived greater satisfaction from the accident than Miss Flightly. Michael was a fine figure of a young man, twenty-two years of age and at the top of his power as an athlete. He was an assiduous cross-country runner and after a full day's work on the farm would go off for a four or five-mile run, choosing ploughed fields where possible and not omitting to ascend the steep northern slope of the Downs, in training for

theoming club contest in London. He carried the bits of the running track into private life, being ver seen to walk but always doing everything at the uble. Born in Australia, he could climb trees like a mkey, using his bare hands and feet to shinny up smoothest trunk. In point of fact, he habitually nt barefoot even in snow, in which he took the usual onial's delight. He was a fine swimmer, specialising the exhausting butterfly stroke, and it was the ht of his muscular young body beating its way up river against the current which had first stimulated susceptible interest of Miss Flightly, and was the rece of her satisfaction in his condition as a patient. The was that strong, beautiful body lying in bed der her sole charge and discretion. . . .

Now that he was up and about Miss Flightly was I his inseparable attendant. Together they walked wn to the buses, sat side by side on the same seat d shared a common luncheon packet. Michael, it s clear, had made a conquest of the impressionable ney, though Michael himself gave the impression her of being taken by storm than of being pernently occupied.

As to the fête itself, it was less a fête than, as has eady been said, an outing. Michael, who entertained colonist's ordinary notions about the English mtryside, and Mr. Crossmons, who had been nured on Hardy, had been talking of village sports, by Queens, maypoles and dancing on the green to home-made music of the village fiddlers. As the rm dusk fell, the fun would wax fast and furious

until couples gradually separated themselves from the throng and wandered off hand in hand down the dark lanes. Nothing, Mr. Longpast assured them, could be farther from the facts.

Mr. Longpast: Village sports and games are now extinct with the exception, of course, of the village football and cricket teams. What is more, the villagers are quite unable to amuse themselves. Just as much as townspeople are they dependent on the radio and the cinema.

MICHAEL: But there is no cinema in Farley village.

Mr. Longpast: No, but there are buses to take people to Petersfield and to Alton, so most of the villagers go twice a week to the cinema, once in Petersfield and once in Alton, just as they would do if they lived in a town.

MR. CROSSMONS: But why do you say they can't amuse themselves? I thought village people spent most of their spare time working in their gardens.

Mr. Longpast: The older ones do, but not the young men. Their one idea is to get on a motor-bike—most of them have motor-bikes—after they have done their day's work on the farm, in the quarry or on "the building" and get away to the nearest town. There they crowd through clicking turnstiles, put metal coins in slots, queue to hear photographs speak and sing crowd into dance halls, paying somebody else to do for them the entertaining they can't now do for them selves, just like their neighbours in the towns. Indeed their outlook, their pursuits, their conception of the

ood life, differ to-day in no important respects from hose of the townsman.

Mr. Crossmons: And what about the country musements that one reads about in books—the harest home, the singing and the merry-making as the st waggon—or I suppose it should be the last trailer ad—of corn is brought into the farm, the supper rounded by the farmer, the long tables groaning—rouning I believe is the correct word—under the plates of cold roast beef and cold roast pork, the jars of rickles, the tankards of beer, the gooseberry pies, the inging by half-inebriated soloists of country songs with roaring choruses, and everybody joining in?

Mr. Deepfeed: The picture, in a word, of a selfinficient community subsisting on its own produce,
reviding its own merry-making and its own sports
and amusements—its members joined together by a
community of interest and the fellowship of hard
work.

Mr. Longpast: What, in fact, of the traditional Merrie England of the harvest field—Far from the Madding Crowd, Farmer's Glory and all that. Well, it's my namesake—long past. Nothing of it survives to-day. The farmer has to pay out far too much in wages to be able to afford free beef and beer or free anything else, even if the beef were obtainable, which it isn't. As for the labourers, what they want when the day's work is done is not to be hanging about the farm or drinking with the men they meet every day and all the day—they see quite enough of them as it is—what they want is to be off and away. Besides,

you must remember they all have at least a fortnight, holiday now, and directly the harvest is over, off the go to some seaside town, whither, of course, to-day, outing is likewise bound.

Thank God, Deepfeed, you and I haven't to fact two or three dreary hours in a bus for the privilege ceating egg sandwiches on a crowded beach. We wistay behind and look after the animals. But I suggest we stroll down to the village and see the party start.

They all walked down to the village where the found five newly painted motor-buses drawn up i splendid array, swarming with mothers, fathers an children, all bound for Bognor Regis. A provision time-table had been drawn up for the day's activitie The party would arrive at 11.30, whence they would repair straight to the beach where, if no party of beach performers could be found to amuse them, most of the villagers would proceed to strip off those of their ga ments whose removal would conform with the r quirements of decency if not, alas, with the dictates charm. Few would enter the sea because few cou swim, but the children would paddle, dig in the san and generally enjoy themselves. They would then car themselves and their sandwiches to a café where t sandwiches would be consumed together with pota crisps and "cuppas" provided by the café. The me meanwhile, would be drinking beer in the "local In the afternoon a few would go on to the pier whe they would insert pennies in the innumerable sl machines with which the pier was lined; others wou listen to the band and others, again, would watch t

shermen not catching fish. The great majority, howwer, would begin to queue up for the cinema.

MICHAEL (to whom this time-table had been comnunicated): But didn't you say, sir, that they went the cinema locally, in Petersfield and Alton, twice week?

MR. LONGPAST: Certainly, I did, but what of it? his will be a different cinema and how, anyway, is ne to get through a day's holiday without going to he movies? Moreover, by the time they come out the ubs will be open and these will take care of the arried men. The young men will walk about aggresvely on the promenade looking at the girls. The girls, they have boy friends, will proudly display them. not, they will hang about hoping to be picked up. he mothers and children, by now tired out and pretty evish, will begin to congregate round the buses aiting to be taken home. That's the day's outing. ou see what enormous advantages the mobility of odern transport has conferred upon our hitherto olated villages. It has broken down their isolation d opened up the village to the world; it has made e pleasures of the suburb and the seaside available the most remote country dwellers, and country folk ee of all the pleasures with which science and the lelfare State have enriched mankind.

Just as the buses were due to start, Capt. Poynter ade his appearance. He seemed at first a little disneerted to find the whole Folly Farm party gathered see the outing depart, but putting a bold face on he went up to Mr. Longpast, shook hands with him

79

and hoped that he was going to join the party. "Not at all, not at all," Mr. Longpast replied. "Indeed, the fact that I am staying at home makes your presence doubly welcome since now I shall be able to hand Nellie over to your eare knowing that she will be in good hands."

CAPT. POYNTER (embarrassed): I hope, sir, my friendship with Mrs. Smart gives you no cause for concern.

I Mr. Longpast: Cause for concern? My dear chap, I haven't the faintest idea what you mean. What cause for concern could I have?

CAPT. POYNTER: Well, sir, I have sometimes thought that you thought that my relation with your niece might not be strictly honourable or such as an uncle could approve. I would like to assure you, sir, that it is.

Mr. Longpast: I don't understand this Victorian language. It is so long since I heard it that I really forget what it means. I take it that as Nellie is an undeniably attractive young woman you would like to make love to her and have probably already done so, and that since there is nobody to stop you, you have every intention of doing it again to-day—which is why, I take it, you are preparing to go with her on this preposterous outing. If she doesn't come back till to-morrow or the next day I shall assume that she is staying with you; that is, I shall assume the worst.

CAPT. POYNTER (very disconcerted): I can assure you, sir, nothing is further from my thoughts. I have never thought of your niece in that way at all.

MR. Longpast (pretending to be affronted): Really, never heard anything so shameful. Here is my fiece, as pretty as paint, and you say you never hought of her "in that way", by which ambiguous expression I take you to mean that you never thought of her as a sexually attractive young woman? If I believed for one moment what you say, I should egard your attitude as damnably insulting. How dare you not find my niece attractive?

NELLIE: Oh, stop making fun of him, Uncle, he besn't know how to take it, poor chap.

MR. LONGPAST: Then he shouldn't insult my intellience by trying to take me in with his ridiculous ssertions about not wanting you and having strictly onourable intentions and all the rest of his nonsense.

NELLIE: All right, all right, Uncle. Now let him e. You can see for yourself that he is only a poor, umb doggy-man who isn't used to this kind of thing. Mr. Longpast (to Poynter): You hear what Nellie iys. I am not to tell you the truth any more, get away with you into the bus and take Nellie ith you. I shall expect you back when I see you.

CAPT. POYNTER (overcome with confusion): Thank ou very much, Longpast, thank you very much indeed. It shall, of course, be back with the others.

The buses departed, and Mr. Longpast walked back the farm with his old friend, Mr. Deepfeed. The omen having gone for the day and the two old men wing the house to themselves, they immediately ade for the kitchen where they set about their prerations for a long-looked-for gastronomic event. As

has been mentioned already, Mr. Longpast was an addict of the pleasures of the table. The hope that ir an age of scientific feeding and of the worst cooking that a civilised country has ever known, he would be able to get his teeth at least occasionally into a good square meal had, indeed, played a large part in originally inducing Mr. Longpast to take a farm. He had had visions of his own roast pork, of great hams, of ham (not bacon) and eggs, of roast lamb, of barons of been even of saddles of mutton....

Needless to say, his hopes had been largely dis appointed. Eggs there were in plenty and milk, but with milk at its present price, it was rarely that Me Longpast got his heart high enough to commission the making of butter, while the separation of the necessary milk for cream was regarded as an unnecess sary and tiresome frill in the already short-staffer cowsheds. As for calves and pigs, the number he was allowed to kill for his own purposes was strictly limited and of sheep and lambs there were none. Moreover in London, as he ruefully reflected, you are out to at least half your meals, which means that there more to eat on the occasions when you are at home. A the farm you were in for every meal, and constan ingenuity was required on the part of the cook to ek out the scanty raw materials available so as to produc meals that seemed varied and were tasty. Mr. Long past's horror of starchy food, of the whole tribe British cakes and scones and bread puddings and pastry, unless made with great delicacy and plenty fat-he was not, he kept pointing out, a schoolboy who

stomach required to be filled as full and as rapidly as possible—only increased the difficulty of feeding him, nor was it lessened by his indiscriminate ban on all the products of the new Ice and Tin Age.

While Mr. Longpast numbered food and drink among the few remaining principal pleasures of the old, Mr. Deepfeed recognised no others. A confirmed gastronome, he devoted his life to the pleasures of the palate. Difficulties only sharpened his appetite and gave zest to his enjoyment. To all suggestions that he should leave England and go to live in France he turned a deaf ear, alleging that in France one was batting on too easy a gastronomic wicket for runs to be worth making. The great thing was to make one's runs in a country where there was little enough to eat and practically no one left who knew how to cook what there was. As to wine, didn't each country in these days export its best, England her cars and her highgrade china pots, France her wines? The most that he would permit himself in this direction was an occasional peroplane trip to Paris for lunch. He would always come back either the same day or the next morning. In favour of the next morning was the fact that you got a dinner as well as a lunch in France; in favour of the same day was the fact that, suffering as he did from confirmed "Englishman's stomach", he couldn't always manage two proper meals a day and rarely did ustice to his dinner.

As an old friend of Mr. Longpast's, on his occasional risits to the farm he always brought a hamper of carefully chosen food. The wines were Mr. Longpast's

responsibility. Both men knew something of the elements of cooking, and as they prepared their meal talked at length and with relish on the evergreen subject of English food and those who prepared it.

Mr. Deepfeed: Extraordinary, the interest ther is now in cooking. Radio talks, television talks—that chap Harben telling us and even showing us how to do it, and God knows how many books appearing week by week from the publishers—Cooking in the Flat, Tasty Meals for Two, Pressure Cooking, Dinne in Half an Hour, Mrs. Becton Up to Date, Meals for the Working Woman—all undertaking to tell you how to turn out tasty meals in no time and with no trouble.

Mr. Longrast: I know, and have you noticed how with the issue of each fresh set of instructions tellin English women how to perform, the level of perform ance drops another peg or two?

MR. DEEPFEED (reflectively): That, I suppose, i what is meant by expressing in literature what yo don't in life.

Mr. Longpast: The vice of the academic man—not exactly what you would have expected to find i English housewives, so practical, so adaptable, so ver much terre à terre.

MR. DEEFFEED: But have you really had acquain tance at first hand with these horrors that one hear of as of far off unhappy things, alas, not long ago Have you actually had personal experience?

MR. LONGPAST: Oh yes; and I assure you that yo wouldn't believe what goes on unless you tasted the

foducts with your own palate. A couple of months 10 I went to talk to a women's teachers' training ollege—enormous place, teeming with young women, hree hundred odd embryo teachers and some forty fifty on the staff. I had dinner there, and as I was he visiting speaker—the great man for the occasion, ou understand-I suppose they put their best foot nward to feed me. Well, would you believe it, not a ingle item of that dinner had been cooked? First, mato soup out of a tin, then for the main course, March night as it was, some cold tinned salmon oupled with bectroot and Russian salad—a most remisive dish. Then came one of the innumerable proucts of the new Ice Age, tinned pears, I think, with blob of ice-cream-no it wasn't, it was tinned pricots and a blob of ice-cream—some English coffee nd we were done. God, what a meal. Now what do ou say to that—three hundred and fifty odd young omen in the place and not a single thing cooked.

MR. DEEPFEED: Didn't know how to cook, I sup-

Mr. Longpast: Probably not, but even if they did, at allowed to do it.

Mr. DEEPFEED: What were they doing?

Mr. Longpast: Oh, going to lectures on The Tenencies of the Modern Novel or on Child Psychology—I went to hear one of them next morning.

Mr. Deepfeed: What a preparation for marriage, a comfortably run house and keeping hubby happy! Poor brute, if he wanted anything eatable, I suppose a would have to learn to cook it himself. Never was

there an age in which men permitted themselves be so ill done by. What a poor lot we are. Why, even the Victorians . . . !

MR. LONGPAST: Then there is another thing I fou out there.

Mr. Deepfeed: Some new horror?

MR. LONGPAST: Well, it struck me as pretty sho ing. A young woman from a "posh" girls' seh talked to me about her education. The top part of l school, she said, was divided into three grades according to intelligence. In the top grade they did chemist physics or biology or what were called "culturi subjects such as history and literature—Tendencies the Modern Novel again, or Influence of the Gravent School on the Romantics, or something of that kind suppose—or what you will; in the middle grade the had the choice between science proper and "cultur subjects on the one hand or household manageme and domestic science—I think it was called that the other; in the bottom grade, where presumal were the fools, you had no choice but did househe management and domestic science. My informant w intelligent and, therefore, hadn't learned a single thi which could conceivably be of any use to her; she kn no recipes, couldn't cook, had never made a conservation never potted meat, never grown vegetables, never ke stock for soup. She knew absolutely nothing.

MR. DEEPFEED: He is a fool who marries but greater who doesn't marry a fool.

MR. LONGPAST: Who said that?

Mr. DEEPFEED: I did.

MR. LONGPAST: I don't mean that. I meant who said it first.

MR. DEEFFEED: Congreve or Wycherley or one of those chaps. Your story invests the remark with a horrid contemporary significance.

MR. LONGPAST: Well, you see how it is. Only the fools have the chance to learn how to make a comfortable home and a happy man which, I take it, is why to-day we so rarely see that contented smile on the face of the satisfied male.

Mr. Deepfeed: It's also why we are all so irritable; we never get a decent meal but only horrible rushed little snacks, stealing the time which ought to have gone to a decently leisured meal in order to keep an appointment with the psycho-analyst, whom we proceed to pay handsomely for *not* curing us of the evil effects of improper living.

Mr. Longpast: All of which happens because our women are too busy getting culture and learning about "the tendencies of the modern novel" to have time to learn anything useful, by which, of course, I mean useful to us.

Mr. DEEPFEED: All of which happens, as you say, because our women are busy getting culture.

Mr. Longpast: Hence blancmange, lovely blancmange—cornflour out of the package with colouring added to taste. Come to Britain for Britain's beautiful blancmange! Oh, that I were a poet, that I could properly hymn its glories in a roundel.

MR. DEEPFEED: You can't—at least not a rhyming one because nothing rhymes with blancmange.

Mr. Longpast: No, I suppose it doesn't in English; but the word, after all, is French, so that if one were allowed to use another French word to rhyme with it something might be done.

He meditated a moment. "What about this," he said,

"Beautiful British blancmange So tasteless, so dank and so cold, Unworthy the Gallic mensonge That refers to it merely as mould!"

Mr. DEEPFEED: Fine, very fine.

Mr. Longpast: Glad you like it. Come on now, it's your turn.

Mr. Deepfeed (meditates): All right, what about this?

"Beautiful British blancmange
So simple and healthy its use,
The practical people of Penge
Disdain the more sensual mousse."

Mr. Longrast: Very fine! But tell me, Penge, Penge—what is that? Not a French word at all but a very English suburb.

MR. DEEPFEED: Ah, yes, but pronounced in the French manner.

Mr. Longpast: Conceded. So much for blancmange. After blancmange what?

Mr. Deepfeed: Well, still deriving from our women getting culture, hence prunes and custard.

Mr. Longpast: Ah, the sanitary prune, the inmparable custard.

MR. DEEPFEED: Hence those quivering, sensitive

MR. LONGPAST: Straight out of the carton. Warm water—ten minutes. Hence boiled fish served with arsley sauce, or what they call "white sauce", and ith tinned peas or cabbage.

MR. DEEPFEED: Is there any other people in the orld who would have thought of a more loathsome mbination—boiled cod and tinned peas? I was once esent at a Paris restaurant where a young Englishman asked for it and the waiter nearly fell over backwards.

Mr. Longpast: Except, please remember, that it ever is cod. Hake, plaice, skate, halibut, even turbot, int never cod. Oh no, not cod.

Mr. Deepfeed: Hence rice pudding, those amorhous sticky lumps of congealed rice, soggy with ater.

Mr. Longpast: To think that there are Indians to insist on dying because they can't get it.

MR. DEEFFEED: Not that because, after all, they m't get that. Their handful of rice may be meagre ut it is at least dry.

Mr. Longpast: Let us speak now of that sweet wiety of English puddings, letting our minds dwelling and lovingly upon them. Shall I begin?

MR. DEEPFEED: On the contrary I propose to begin, literatively as befits the dignity of the subject, with abinet and Cumberland.

Mr. Longrast: I cap you with Duchess and Devon, shire and, may I add, Queen's.

Mr. DEEPFEED: I give you College and Bakewell and Messina.

MR. LONGPAST: I say, have you any idea what any of them are?

Mr. Deepfeed: Of the characteristics that distinguish pudding from pudding—Queen's from Messina, Cumberland from Duchess and so on? Not the faintest,

Mr. Longrast: I have always supposed that bread and flour constituted the uniform base of all of them

MR. DEEFFEED: No, I have a more plausible suggestion. There is a kind of comestible known as a Swiss roll, a sort of yellow stuff, wrapped round itself in rolls and tacked together with layers of jam. Now what I believe our women do is to go out and but some of this stuff, cover it with the usual counterpant of custard or white sauce and then serve it as one of these puddings.

Mr. Longpast: And do you really believe this? Mr. Deepfeed: Well, I know they buy it to make the foundation of their soggy trifles, pouring over it little ready-made fruit juice. And the custard, to white sauce, are, it must be remembered, wonder disguisers.

Mr. Longpast: Beneficent custard, admirable whisauce. With what unfailing regularity they throw to merciful mantle of their viscous natures over to products of our kitchens, concealing their defects from the prying eyes of the impertinent and the curious

Mr. Deepfeed: Noble inventions indeed! B

speaking of jam, what has become of the jam roll that there used to be at school—the pudding that was called "roly-poly" made of rich, fat suct, saturated with fruit jam, home-made jam, mark you, even for pudding—which oozed gloriously out at the gentlest buch, with just that faint hint of burning to give it hite.

Mr. Longpast: It has, I fear, gone the way of all home-made puddings. I encountered a contemporary is roll the other day at a school where I was visiting a nephew. There was a hard outer casing—not the soft, fat, oozy suct we used to know—folded round and round like a roll of linoleum, and in the curves between the folds the hint—the soupçon of a nuance, no more—of a little, a very little jam. When you squeezed or broke the thing up, this jam peeped coyly out.

Mr. Deepfeed: Of what sort was it?

Mr. Longpast: Couldn't say. The thing had no distinctive taste of any kind which means, I take it, that it was synthetic—bits of all sorts with a foundation of glucose and a deep infusion of turnip.

Mr. Deepfeed: I don't believe that it is possible buy straight jam now.

Mr. Longpast: You're right there. It is possible to buy named jams such as raspberry or strawberry, but the name is for the most part an empty sound. A lozen or so pips, perhaps, indicate some origin of aspberry, a little viscous lump may stand for strawberry, but the rest is turnip, glucose, and colouring matter or whatever it may be. As a matter of interest

I once had a student who worked in a firm who made artificial wooden pips to put into "raspberry" jam.

Mr. Deepfeed: How wonderful are the resources of civilisation, how breath-taking the wonders of science. By preserving and mixing and blending and freezing and mass-producing, they have enabled us to take all the taste out of jam.

MR. LONGPAST: Or out of cream. We whip it, puff it, preserve it, freeze it, do God knows what to it, to show how clever we are, but we never serve it as just cream.

Mr. DEEPFEED: Shall I tell you a story about cream?

Mr. Longpast: Yes, if it is horrible. My spleen won't stand anything at the moment but horrors.

Mr. Deepfeed: Have some sherry now.

Mr. Longpast: No, I will wait for the proper moment. I don't want my sherry spoiled or my horrors—you assure me there is horror? [Mr. Deepfeed reassured him]—blunted.

Mr. Deepfeed: Well, you must know that in the early spring of '39 I was staying at a farmhouse in the West Country. It was a dairy farm and there was a large herd of Guernseys. But did we have fresh cream with our tinned apricots? Not a bit of it. The cream which was served to us came out of tins or bottles; it was labelled and was called "Preserved". When I asked the farmer's wife why this was, she said that it wasn't worth her time and trouble to put some of the milk aside from the general supply and then separate it for cream. One day when she was bringing

the tinned pears with the usual tin of preserved peam she gave vent to an exclamation. "Well, I never!" he said. "What's the matter?" I asked. "Well," she sid, "I do believe that's our own cream come back to so You see," she explained, "we have been sending four milk to a new factory which has only just been pened and I know for a fact that it is only taking so milk at the moment from our farm and one other. I suppose, as likely as not, our milk's gone all the say into Bristol, been turned into preserved cream and sold wholesale to the grocers who have sold it tail to me so that I might bring it back to the farm. I sell, I never!"

Mr. Longpast: An agreeable story. I congratulate at But have you ever been on the Great West Road rly in the morning?

MR. DEEPFEED: Can't remember. Why do you ask? MR. LONGPAST: Because there you will see a string lorries from Covent Garden laden with vegetables aking their way towards Reading, Newbury, Swindon, antage, Farringdon and such-like places.

Mr. Deepfeed: Well, why not? What is there rerkable about that?

Mr. Longpast: Merely that the lorries are inscribed th the names of Reading, Newbury and Swindon ms which means, I suppose, that these lorries have eady taken vegetables from these very same farms Covent Garden; they may be—I dare say in some es they are—the identical cauliflowers that are now ng brought back again to the towns from which by started earlier in the morning.

MR. DEEPFEED: Are you sure of that? I find it a bit hard to believe even of this age.

Mr. Longpast:Yes, because I once travelled in one of the lorries. I was hitch-hiking—not bad at my age—and the driver told me about it. He said what a funny thing it was that the growers of Newbury had to send all their fruit and vegetables to London in order that they might be brought back to be sold in Newbury.

MR. DEEFFEED: Very funny, but no funnier than my sitting in the dining-room of a Cornish hotel with windows that looked over the harbour and watching them landing pilchards by the thousand while I was eating stinking fish that had come all the way from Grimsby.

MR. LONGPAST: How great are the wonders of modern transport. Because we can transport food from longer distances and preserve it for longer periods than people have ever done before, we take it for granted that we must do these things, irrespective of whether they make the food taste better; or rather in spite of the fact that they always make it taste worse and sometimes prevent it from tasting at all.

Mr. Deepfeed: But the food absurdity is only special case of a more general absurdity. Science has enabled human beings to do all sorts of things that they could never do before. To move faster, to produce more, to fly in the air, to travel under the water, to kill one another in greater numbers, from higher altitudes, from greater distances, in shorter time. The scientists having won the knowledge which gave under the scientists having won the knowledge which gave under the scientists.

these powers, we immediately proceed to apply it without stopping to think whether the application makes us wiser or better or even happier. In fact, it usually does the reverse.

Mr. Longpast: Don't be too hard on us. When did mankind ever behave differently? I believe there is only one recorded case of human beings having made a new invention or acquired a new power, deliberately denying themselves its use.

Mr. DEEPFEED: Who were these enlightened persons?

Mr. Longpast: The Chinese of the fourth century A.D. who, having discovered gunpowder, restricted its use by governmental decree to the manufacture of squibs and crackers. But a truce to pontifications about the age. Let's get back to British food.

Mr. DEEPFEED (proceeding to shell some garden peas): Shall we now proceed to hymn the joys of English vegetables?

Mr. DEEPFEED: Haven't we had nearly enough? Besides, I'm getting hungry.

MR. LONGPAST: Would you, then, have me pass by the universal potato, servable, if my memory may be relied upon, in twenty-three or is it twenty-four different guises, but served to us only under five, the boiled, the mashed, the roast, the "chip" or the baked in their jackets. Oh, those baked potatoes served to you with your beetroot supper and a tin of special brawn by your smiling hostess!

MR. DEEPFEED: "So nice, I always say, properly aked in their jackets. They retain their natural, earthy

flavour that way, don't you think? Be sure to put some butter on them"—that's how it goes, doesn't it?

MR. LONGPAST: "Thanks, I will. Delicious, yes, delicious." But are we not to speak of the beetroot itself, so bright and bloody, bloody with the purple of the veins rather than with the scarlet of the arteries, endowed with what is mercifully so unique a smell?

MR. DEEPFEED: No, let's give it a miss, and the turnips and the parsnips and the carrots and the Jerusalem artichokes.

Mr. Longpast: Oh, do you think so? The young carrot can be very toothsome properly cooked, you know.

Mr. Deepfeed: But when in modern England is it properly cooked, in butter, very young, with a touch of garlic? Nonsense, and you know that it's nonsense! But are we to say nothing of greens? Green English cabbage, yellow English sprouts, broccoli and turnip tops all dripping with the delicious water in which they have been boiled. Oh, let us not forget them, albeit they aren't horrors of the new time, they go back into an ancestral antiquity. They are the backbone of the English kitchen. They have made England what it is. Oh, let us not forget them.

MR. LONGPAST: We never, never could.

Mr. Deepfeed: But coming specifically to the distinctive horrors of the *new* time. How would you name them?

Mr. Longpast: Difficult to answer. There are so many. Some perhaps would specify the contemporary sausage. Ah, when one thinks of some of the glorious

Rausages of the past—Alden's Oxford sausages, for xample, when I was a schoolboy at the Dragon School n the early years of the century. How freshly they hand out in my memory, so rich, so spicy, so cunningly Mended of chopped herbs and pork! There was a man # Amberley in Sussex who used to drive me mad when I was trying to write in the garden with the mpting and eructating of an unsilenced, stationary etrol motor. One day I discovered that the motor rorked a sausage machine. Having suffered so much nd for so long from the motor, I resolved to try the msages it produced. At the first taste all was foriven and forgotten, so fresh they were and tasty with, remember particularly, a peculiar thymy flavour at ravished the palate. When I remember some of e great sausages of the past-Stamford, where three the East Midland counties meet, was a wonderful ace for sausages, pies, brawns, and acclets-I can onounce the word, but I'm blessed if I can spell it. Mr. Deepfeed: What is it, anyway?

Mr. Longpast: Acelet originates in Lincolnshire d belongs to the brawn species but is a super brawn h a foundation of kidney and liver and a cunning usion of herbs and spices. . . .

MR. DEEPFEED: By the way, have you ever reflected the mystery of the contemporary kidney?

IR. LONGPAST: You mean where they go?

IR. DEEPFEED: Yes. If an animal has a liver, you ild think it would have a kidney, but ever since war there has been only one pair of kidneys for nty livers. And it isn't as if they all went into

hotels. After all, one has sometimes had to feed in hotels and they are as kidneyless as the private house.

MR. LONGRASH: I have always supposed that they

MR. LONGPAST: I have always supposed that they went under the counters and stayed there—stayed there, that is to say, for the regaling of the butcher and his friends. You know, people like butchers and poulterers often know quite a lot about food and make a point of getting the tit-bits to which their privileged position entitles them. However, to return to the sausage. . . .

Mr. Deepfeed: Sorry I interrupted.

Mr. Longpast: Not at all. Most interesting!

MR. DEEPFEED: But since I have digressed into kidneys, let me add that sweetbreads are in a similar case. The loss wouldn't matter so much, if it weren't for that deliciously distinctive taste of the good kidney, whether sheep or ox, with its delicate suggestion, a soupçon, no more, of urine. But you want to get back to the sausage. . . .

Mr. Longpast: When I say that I remember some of the great sausages of past times and places—for the sausage is, or should be, an essentially local product, made, as it might be, across the road so that it has no time to get stale waiting and travelling—those leathery bags of tasteless miscellany—much of it sawdust, I believe—which lie to-day on the plate looking for all the world like the black turds that they so unappetisingly resemble, seem to me an outrage against God and man.

Mr. Longpast: Agreed! But do you know the variety that has a skin which is not so much leather

as elastic, so that under the impact of the teeth it flies apart with a ping with such violence that in extreme cases the two flying ends tweak the lips and the gums?

MR. DEEPFEED (gloomily): I do. But what of the contents? Do you, for example, know anything that achieves more completely the condition of total tastelessness, in so far, of course, as such a condition is compatible with unpleasingness, as the contemporary sausage?

MR. LONGPAST (musingly): Degrees of tastelessness! An interesting concept! Do I know, you ask, of a degree which is more extreme? I think I do. What about slices of meat loaf which simply doesn't taste at all? MR. DEEPFEED: That precisely is the expression I used of the contemporary sausage and you agreed. No, there can't be degrees of no taste at all; there can't, as a philosopher you will agree, be degrees of nothing-

MR. LONGPAST: All right, all right. I suppose I was unconsciously invoking another criterion. For meat loaf belongs, after all, to the same food category as the pâtê. Now when one thinks of it in relation to pâtês one has had—in Alsace for example . . .

ness.

MR. DEEPFEED (impatiently): I know, I know, but then the Alsace pâté is of the same general type as, let us say, the sausages you get at Strasbourg. Besides, you yourself have already spoken of Alden's Oxford sausages of fifty years ago, and of the Amberley sausage of not more than twenty. Moreover, I have a notion that all this talk of sausages is a little off the point.

Mr. Longpast: Why so?

MR. DEEPFEED: Because, you see, it might be alleged with some show of plausibility that, when meat is so short that there isn't enough to go round, you can't expect made-up foods to be other than anaemic, so that, though all we have said is true, it might be retorted that there is no help for it. Thus, though they are horrors wholly distinctive of our age, the sausage and the meat loaf are, nevertheless, it might be said, not wholly reprehensible horrors. Now when you asked me to name some of the special horrors of our time, I take it you had in mind morally reprehensible horrors, morally reprehensible in the sense that they need not be at all.

MR. LONGPAST: But are due to the inexcusable ignorance, casualness, earelessness, laziness, sloveniness and insensibility of English women who, as we know, will eat anything.

MR. DEEPFEED: Or nothing.

Mr. Longpast: Or, as you say, to all intents and purposes nothing. Anyway, they don't mind what they eat, thereby distinguishing their approach to the gastronomic from their approach to the visual arts.

MR. DEEPFEED: Aren't you being a little portentous?

MR. LONGPAST: All I wanted to say was that whereas in the picture gallery their characteristic cry is "I don't know anything about art, but I do know what I like", in the kitchen it is "I don't know anything about cooking, and I don't want to, and I don't mind what I eat". But returning to what you call "morally

eprehensible horrors", I think that, for my part, I hould plump for the mixture known as Russian salad—ou know, those little chopped-up bits of carrot and urnip, interspersed with sickly-looking peas, together ith the innumerable products of the New Ice Age—o English sweet complete without 'em—as the most stinctive because the most universal.

MR. DEEPFEED (meditatively): I don't understand hat has happened about ices. When I was a boy, there ere ices but they were comparatively rare and they ere divided into two kinds, "cream" and "water". here was also a thing called a Neapolitan ice, a slab recoloured stripes like a rainbow. Cream ices were elicious; I used to choose one for my birthday treat. Vater ices were comparatively poor stuff. Now the lew Ice Age supplies in vast quantities a substance hich is neither cream nor water but intermediate etween the two, creamier than the water ice, more atery than the cream ice; and what is interesting the inundation of the whole country by a flood of is ice as the universal sweet. How has it come about? Mr. Longpast: Don't know. The contemporary iceeam comes, I have always supposed, out of America, ad has something to do, I dare say, with the invention ithe "frig". No harm in it at all, if it weren't used to le exclusion of all other sweets.

Mr. Deepfeed: Oh, but you forget one very disnetive horror.

MR. LONGPAST: What's that?

MR. DEEPFEED: The contemporary tart—not pie, rt. The pie, when filled with freshly cooked fresh

fruit, coyly hidden under flaky pastry made with plent of lard, propped up on an egg-cup in the middle, an served with plenty of cream and sugar, could be noble dish. Just think, for example, of a properly made raspberry and redcurrant pie, such as we ar having to-day. Or think of a good plum pie, the juid of which could on occasion rise almost to the level of the taste of wine. Now contrast the contemporary tar Its distinctive feature is the separate preparation of the ingredients, the fruit straight from its tin, and th oblong strips of pastry bought, presumably ready made, at the baker's. These introduced to each other for the first time on the eater's plate and the whole served under a counterpane of the inevitable custard form a dish eminently suitable for a mid-twentieth century British sweet.

MR. LONGPAST: I say, aren't you getting hungry MR. DEEPFEED: Yes, I ought not to have mentioned that redcurrant pie. Let's get on with it.

All the time Mr. Longpast and Mr. Deepfeed had been talking, they had been busying themselves under Mr. Deepfeed's direction, over the preparation of their luncheon. Their conversation on British food had neither delayed nor had it sickened them. On the contrary, it had given an edge to appetite by way of contrast to what they knew to be in store for them, as the Romans used to employ an emetic to clear the stomach of impurities before eating. In just the same way the memories of the productions of the British cooks under whom they had suffered served to provide an emetic of the spirit.

You would, no doubt, dear reader, like to know what they had. As theirs was, with two exceptions, a purely English meal, you shall be told, since it is just possible you may be incited to go and do likewise. Know, then and this was the first exception—that they began with a pâté which Mr. Deepfeed had himself prepared from kidneys, liver, pork and herbs according to a recipe which he had learned when staying as a vouth in Perigord. This was followed by an omelette fines herbes. There followed as main dish a small saddle of mutton taken by what means we shall not enquire from one of Mr. Longpast's own sheep which had recently died. The old gentlemen were particularly enthusiastic over this saddle, a joint practically unknown to them since the War. With it they had new notatoes and peas. There had been a controversy between them on the vexed question of redcurrant jelly or mint sauce, or both or neither. In favour of redcurrant jelly Mr. Longpast had cited tradition, never to be despised in matters of food. In favour of mint sauce Mr. Deepfeed had adduced the season. It was June, the mint was new, and a new flask of good malt vinegar was available. Mint sauce was perfect with new potatoes and though they had agreed that the animal they were eating was entitled to rank as mutton rather than lamb, yet the meat was at once so juicy and so tender as to justify a lamb-like trimming.

In favour of neither was the marked antipathy of both to claret. In view of the worth of Mr. Longpast's claret, of which more in a moment, they decided on neither. There followed raspberry and redcurrant pie

with pastry made by Mr. Deepfeed's own loving hand and cream from the one Jersey cow which was kept for the purpose of providing the house. They finished with a piece of Brie specially chosen by Mr. Deepfeed at Fortnum's—he had spent half an hour on the choice—and brought down by hand two days before.

For wine Mr. Longpast had provided the following: with the pâté a bottle of Montrachet 1945 which was finished with the omelette; with the saddle a bottle of Chateau Beycheville 1938 which both praised; with the Brie half a bottle of old Burgundy (Musigny 1929). When the feast was over, the two old men, with the contented smiles of satisfied males on their faces, cleared away and retired to their beds for a well-earned nap. The women, they thought, could wash up when they returned in the evening and prepared their bacon and eggs.

V

Mr. Longpast in the Lakes

he harvest was over and Mr. Longpast was preparing rhis annual visit to the North. This usually took place the autumn when Mr. Longpast, who in his youth d been an assiduous walker on mountain and moord, felt a longing for grander scenes and wider mizons than were afforded by the wooden downlands the Hampshire-Sussex border. And so year after ar, late September had seen him in the Scottish wlands, the northern Pennines or the Lake District. these he greatly preferred the Lakes, but one or o unfortunate experiences in well-loved spotsere had been gramophones and beach pyjamas by e lake in Borrowdale and motor-bicycles eructating tulently over the steeps of Hardknott—had of recent ers sent him elsewhere, bitterly complaining that he d his contemporaries, the first generation of townsn to discover the beauty of these places, hadn't d the sense to keep quiet about their discovery, th the result that wild scenery was now the fashion; of which, he said, only showed the danger of conleting propaganda on behalf of the good, the beautiful d the true among a quarter-educated proletariat.

"Why," he exclaimed, "couldn't we keep our si mouths shut? All the beautiful places having been lo ago invaded and polluted, we shall be driven in end to repair for wildness and solitude to Bedfordsh in February. Well, I have learned my lesson. Whorses won't drag out of me praise of Beds. in Fel

More recently still, however, Mr. Longpast had coupon a district of the Lakes which was comparative unvisited. For obvious reasons it is not possible say precisely where this district was, but this much least may be conceded by way of elimination—it w not that great unknown area lying north of Skidd where is Great Calva, nor the equally large and t visited area lying north-west of Wastwater, who Copeland Forest leads on into the Kinniside hills a a man may walk all day in August from Lank Ri without seeing persons or habitations until he com to the little peak of Grike and looks down upon Enn dale and the Anglers; nor was it one of those love southern valleys, the Rusland Valley, for instance, th run up into the Lakeland foothills between Conist and Windermere. But there is country lying betwee Coniston and Dunnerdale where the hill of Caw loo out over the Lickle and Apple Tree Worth Becks whi -but if more were said, too much might be known.

Here Mr. Longpast was staying, though it cannot told where he stayed, with his niece Nellie, her sche friend Norah Form and Michael. Michael, who lisso many students, knew the Lakes well by ma book and compass and had led parties by time-tal along scheduled routes, was a little shocked by M

ongpast's casual approach to the "tops", and was pt to remonstrate with him about his failure to proide himself with hot drinks, brandy, change of lothing and the rest of the modern mountaineer's araphernalia, wherewith lives are saved in emergencies n mountains when limbs are sprained or ways lost the mist.

In these remonstrances Michael was much abetted y Norah Form, a precise little woman who inspected nder the National Health Act—or was she perhaps almoner or a probation officer?—and was already aking hard for permanent spinsterdom unless she uld be headed off at this comparatively late hour—te not chronologically, for she was not more than venty-seven, but psychologically, for she was already ne-tenths official. For several days they had contented emselves with scrambles over the hills near the farm-revisit the more frequented part of the Lakes, here he had served his climbing apprenticeship as a

For many years he had been in the habit of attending man-hunt held annually at Whitsun. Some twentyld men had been gathered at Seatoller House for ree or four days. Each morning three of them, the res, would make their way with red scarves pinned their shoulders, on to a prescribed mountain area ntaining many of the central peaks of the Lakes. hour later, the rest, the hounds, would start. The me was simple—the hares had to remain uncaught, they could, until five o'clock—but it involved much

stalking and running and headlong chases down precipices from whose terrifying aspect the hunters in other circumstances would have shrunk back in blenched affright. The game afforded ample opportunities for those who felt in need of "soliquots" (quotas of solitude) to recover from the strains of London life, since a hound, if he felt so disposed, could wander alone and unseen all day, easily avoiding the chases to which, as the day wore on, the hares were increasingly subjected, for it was held to be the duty of a hare to give good sport rather than to remain uneaught. Mr. Longpast who, for sentimental reasons. had continued to attend these gatherings for some years after his powers as a runner had declined, had been in his later years particularly addicted to "soliquots", alleging that a day's solitude in grand scenery followed by a hearty social evening passed with a score of beer-drinking, chorus-singing men, wedged together in a little parlour at the back of Seatoller House, was a way of passing the time as near perfection as man was entitled to wish for in this life.

It was sentiment, then, that now led him to revisit a part of the Lakes from which he had been expelled by the invasions of the vulgar scattering their eigarette ends, cartons and waste paper on Great Gable, not to speak of that exhausted air, as of a woman who has enjoyed the embraces of too many lovers which, as he insisted, now clung about the Honister, diffusing itself over Grey Knotts, Brandreth and Green Gable to merge into the greater exhaustion of Great Gable itself.

On the present occasion he decided to avoid this wer-frequented area and to ascend the Pillar-Steeple idge which, though less affected than Haystacks and be Gables by the Hunt, had nevertheless fallen within a boundaries.

Starting early, the party drove over the Honister Buttermere, and proceeded by Black Sail Pass wer Looking Stead on to Pillar. They had just left Mar for Steeple when the mist came down. Mr. longpast's mountaineering days had been many, but hey had also been long ago. Besides, he had never iked mist which depressed him and made him feel ervous. His instinct was always to get down out of tat the earliest possible moment by the quickest possible route. Yielding to this instinct on the present ceasion, he made off in a northerly direction where, she believed, a quick and easy descent led off Hayook into Deep Ghyll. But there must have been misalculation-all too easy in the mist-as to times, istances and exact locations for, instead of coming the verge of an easy descent, moving visibly down dow the mist, the party found itself at the edge of hat looked uncomfortably like a precipice. An almost ertical descent, broken only by the shapes of beetling ocks showing black and shiny in the mist which wirled up to their feet, was a sight from which all brank back in apprehension. Mr. Longpast in particular shed back so precipitately up the slope that he missed is footing on the slippery surface of a wet slab and ame down heavily with one leg twisted under him. fr. Longpast bellowed with pain and when, after a

few moments, the others assisted him to rise, he foun himself quite unable to bear his weight upon the affected limb and incapable, therefore, of walking Diagnosing a bad sprain or a wrenching of the ligament of the ankle, the party set itself to consider what shoul be done.

Their plight was not enviable. They didn't know where they were and didn't know, therefore, in which direction to proceed in order to get down out of the mist. The mist itself, which had begun with drizzle had turned to rain which, blown by a stiff breeze bade fair to break through the defences of mackintos and oilskin and to wet the warm, sensitive bodie which lay wrapped beneath.

Mr. Longpast could only move with the greater difficulty and pain and, to make matters worse, it we getting late and within two or three hours at most would be dark. A hurried council of war led to decision to send Michael and Norah for help. The were to get off the mountain in whatever direction they could find a practicable descent, whether in Ennerdale or Wastdale or over Caw Fell which, the judged could not be far away, down the run Blen while Nellie was to stay with her uncle. It was at the juncture that the sound of whistling reached then It was a merry, light-hearted whistle and was rendered a tune which, to Mr. Longpast's delighted surpris revealed itself as that of the second Papageno son from The Magic Flute. They shouted and the whistling drew nearer until presently the figure of a young ma loomed through the mist.

His clothing, by the standard of contemporary shions prescribed for climbers and ramblers on ountains, was grossly defective. He was bare-headed d over an open-necked shirt wore a thick woollen veater, just the thing to collect and harbour the oisture. Shorts and a pair of thick woollen stockings, the sort that young men wear for playing rugger. ided, not in the regulation boots, but in a pair of but brown shoes. Glancing at them, Norah found rself wondering almost instinctively, if they were filed: and, if not, what were the chances of his eaking an ankle. The wearer of this grossly unsuitable tire was himself an agreeable spectacle. He was tall id strongly built though slim, with square shoulders, brow thighs and beautifully shaped legs, swelling lves and slender ankles. His head was covered with coal-black mop of wavy hair which curled over the rehead. The chin was firm, the nose very slightly med up, the eyes deep blue and very bright, though st at the moment expressive of concern.

THE STRANGER (looking at Mr. Longpast): You em to be in trouble. Can I help?

Michael explained the situation. Their intention, he id, had been to return to Buttermere where was eir car, but they had lost their way in the mist and iw their only concern was to get Mr. Longpast out the mist and off the mountain as soon as might be to whichever of the valleys was the nearest and the ist accessible. "Unfortunately," said the stranger, hey aren't the same thing. The most accessible valley achable by the easiest route is Ennerdale; the nearest

is Highdale"—the reader will excuse the fictitious name—"where my cottage is. But it's a steep way down What," he asked, turning to Nellie, "would be the best for the gentleman?" "My uncle," said Nellie "let me introduce us." When this had been done and they had found out that the stranger's name was Arthur Logan, the party further consulted as to the best course to adopt. They finally decided on the shorted descent into Highdale, the comparatively brief period of daylight remaining being an important factor in the decision.

Supported by Michael and Logan, Mr. Longpast go with difficulty on to his feet and, gingerly putting the wounded foot to the ground, found that it would just though painfully, bear his weight provided that he leant heavily on the shoulders of the other two men.

Very slowly they made their way along the ridge until presently Logan took a sharp turn to the righ almost immediately after which they began to descend With activity, the movement of Mr. Longpast's foo grew a little easier, though still very painful, especiall when the steepness of the slope involved scramblin and the use of hands and arms to get a hold on the wet slope and crumbly rocks. Such places, however grew fewer and it was just getting dark when the mis parted and revealed a view of a green valley enclosed as it seemed, on all sides by hills.

"How far from the bottom of the descent to the nearest house?" asked Nellie. "The nearest house my cottage," replied Logan, "and the distance

etween two and three miles; but there is a road or, ather, a track which begins about half a mile from the ottom and I can go on ahead and bring the car to be end of the track."

When at last they got off the mountain, Mr. Logan rent on ahead while the others waited with Mr. Longast. A couple of hours later, it being now quite dark, fr. Longpast was settled in an armchair, holding out is hands to the fire in the stranger's cottage; Logan's field James was, with Nellie's help, busying himself wer the preparations for supper, and Michael and forah were taking the opportunity to have a good of wash—there was no bathroom. Mr. Longpast at st had leisure to take stock of his rescuer and host ho sat opposite him on the other side of the fire.

Mr. Longpast: Are you having a long holiday here? Logan: Holiday? Why, I live here.

Mr. Longpast (surprised): What, all the year and?

LOGAN: Yes, this is my home.

Mr. Longpast: What on earth do you do with ourself all the time?

LOGAN: Not much. I read a lot, write a bit, do a stain amount of painting and spend a lot of time a the hills; but mostly I suppose I am engaged in that you might call escaping from civilisation.

Mr. Longpast (intrigued): Please explain.

LOGAN: Well, you see, I was brought up in the ountry and my people are or were fairly well to do. twas intended that I should take to the Law with a lew later to entering politics. So, after going down

from Oxford, I was admitted to the Middle Templ and started to eat dinners and take exams.

Mr. Longpast: Pretty bad dinners too, I shoul judge from all accounts.

Logan: Yes, while the exams are as stiff as ever the food has got softer and softer. Meanwhile, I live in digs in Chelsea with James. As time went on, grew to hate London more and more. London lif seemed to me to get nastier, uglier, noisier, vulgare and cheaper in everything but in actual monetar cost, month by month. I grew, too, increasingly re sentful of the interferences and deprivations of th State.

Mr. Longpast: It would interest me to know why Surely the State, by means of what you call its interferences, has raised the level of the poor, removed the fear of want from thousands of people and made for fair shares all round.

Logan: Maybe. But all these are altruistic con siderations; you are thinking in terms of others, while I am trying only to answer Mr. Longpast's question and say how the Welfare State affected me.

MR. LONGPAST: And how did it?

Logan: As I've just said it affected me chiefly by its interferences and deprivations.

Mr. Longpast: What interferences do you complain of?

Logan: All of them, my dear sir, all of them. When having given up the Law, I took a job, which I pre sently did, with a publisher, the State took part of my salary away from me for insurance, another consider

ible slice of it went in income tax, and yet another was deducted for my pension.

NORAH (who had just come in fresh and rosy from ler wash with the spare garments which she always stried so providently in her rucksack dry upon her): That money for insurance and for pension was taken a order that you might have free medical treatment when you are ill, maintenance at the State's expense when you are out of a job and maintenance, again at the State's expense, when you grow too old to work. You can't call these interferences, still less deprivations. LOGAN: Why not? The interferences were certain and compulsory. There seemed to be no way of escaping hem, while the so-called benefits were problematical and contingent.

MR. Longpast: Why? Didn't you get your benefits? Logan: No, I didn't. For one thing I was never ill—obody, after all, has any right to be ill between the ges of fourteen and fifty—and for another, I had a ttle money of my own and when in due course I gave p the publisher's business because I wanted to write yeelf, I was told that I couldn't draw unemployment insurance benefit, unless I showed myself willing take some other job which the Employment Exhange was quite prepared to offer me.

NORAH: Well, didn't they offer you another job? LOGAN: Yes, they did, several; a temporary clerk-

nip in a Government office, a job in Lloyds, and nother in a publisher's office preparing indexes for uthors who were too lazy to prepare them for themlives. One job, I remember, was counting football

pool coupons. Another meant going to Birmingham, Just think of that, I ask you, going to live in Birmingham!

MR. LONGPAST: I agree, though I am old enough to believe that when you are young you must expect to "go through it" a bit. I know I did, with twenty years' drudgery in a Government office to my credit.

Logan: Oh, you think that, do you? The eternal old man's attitude! I had to go through it and look at me now; I'm none the worse for it; there is nothing the matter with me, so why shouldn't you go through it too?

MR. LONGPAST: You are quite right. It is a disgraceful thing to have said, and I am glad to withdraw the remark. Thank you for pulling me up.

NORAH: But, Mr. Logan, you also mentioned deprivations. What were they?

Logan: Well, they were partly food deprivations. I couldn't buy this and I couldn't buy that. For example, I like eating French cheeses. Well, you know, the Government stopped importing them. I like meat and plenty of it. The Government prevented me from buying more than a miserable mouthful a week.

NORAH: That was so that others might have their share of the very limited supply, which was all the country's economic position permitted.

LOGAN: No doubt, no doubt, but, once again, I am only answering the question, which was "What was the Government depriving you of?" and not "Do you wish to be an altruist?" That was a question I was

never asked; altruism was thrust upon me. But there were more subtle deprivations.

MR. LONGPAST: For example?

LOGAN: Well, cast your mind back to the 'twenties. A young man of my kind living in London, especially f, as I did, he probably lived in Chelsea, really had a good time. There were parties and bottle parties.

Nellie: What were those?

LOGAN: Every guest brought his own bottle of wine or spirits with him; the drink was pooled and every-body drank as much as they wanted and could get hold of. Then there were shadowgraph parties.

Nellie (intrigued): What were they? Please explain. Logan: You seem to be very ignorant of the presistory of your own times. Where, I wonder, were

you raised?

NELLIE: Mostly in London and Oxford.

LOGAN: The Latin Quarter of Cowley! But have you never read anything about the 'twenties?

NELLIE: Yes, but I don't know what a shadowgraph party was.

Mr. Longpast: I can enlighten you there, Nellie. I can remember them well.

NELLIE: Uncle, why have you never told me?

MR. LONGPAST: Because I didn't want to put ideas nto your head, young woman. There are quite enough there already without any encouragement from me. Besides, what was well enough for my generation may not be well at all for yours, as our young friend here has just reminded me.

NELLIE: Well, what were they, anyway?

MR. Longpast: In the middle of the party the host would announce that shadowgraphs were to be performed. A sheet would be suspended at one end of the room, the lights would be put out, except for one brilliant illumination behind the sheet which thus became a lighted screen. Presently figures or, rather, the shadows of figures appeared on the screen. So far as could be surmised, they were unclothed, or clothed at most very scantily. They went through various gestures, wooing, rejecting, withdrawing, consenting, achieving, gestures—how shall I put it—not wholly unconnected with the great passion of love. Then the lights went up and the other guests were asked to guess whose the figures had been. Prizes were offered for correct guesses.

NELLIE: What a delightful idea! I suppose there were always dances and parties in the 'twenties.

Mr. Longpast: Yes, but you must remember that I was living in Chelsea, and I don't know that the life I enjoyed was in the least typical.

Nellie: It certainly isn't typical now. [Turning to Logan.] It is true, isn't it, that we don't have anything like so good a time as my uncle's generation?

Mr. Longpast: True enough, I dare say. The world began to grow solemn in the early 'thirties, and it has remained solemn ever since. [To Logan.] But I wouldn't take my niece too literally, if I were you. Believe me, she has and has had her fill of parties and dances and all that goes with them. I have never seen anybody quite like Nellie at a party for heightened sense of living, for excitement, for sheer, flagrant,

mabashed enjoyment. She smiles, flushes, minces. Her eyes sparkle and shoot out invitation. Her whole tittude is a challenge, a challenge to our sex. In fact, t's a treat to see her.

NELLIE (archly): Uncle, you shouldn't tell tales out fschool.

MR. LONGPAST: All right. Enough about you! Besides, we are interrupting Mr. Logan who was elling us about the interferences and deprivations which brought him to live here and have turned put, if I may say so, so providentially for me this internoon.

LOGAN: Well, sir, I think your niece has already aid most of it. The Government or progress or civilisaon or whatever you like to call it, has made London fe so dull, so sombre, so dreary and so vulgar that all hat is left for those of us who can is to get out of it s expeditiously as possible. And of the two avenues of scape, the Continent and the country, the Government ave effectually closed the former by their currency estrictions. Time was when a young man like myself with a small independent income could and did go to France and live cheaply while he was writing; but now he Government has stopped all that. They first turn Ingland into a prison with their regulations and then revent you from escaping from the prison with their estrictions, so the only other thing was the countryeal country, of course, not suburb, and, as you know wu have to go a long way to find that. But here, at east, we are free of the State. Nobody ever bothers is here.

NORAH: But nobody to-day can be free, as you ca it, of the State.

LOGAN: I can only answer that we are free, Jame and I. We literally never see an official.

NORAH: But you have to pay your income tax.

LOGAN: Not a penny. Officially I have no income NORAH: I thought you said you had a private is

NORAH: I thought you said you had a private in come.

LOGAN: Did I? Forget it.

Nellie: Yes, Norah, forget it.

NORAH: Well, but you have to pay rates on you cottage.

Logan: Not a rate, I assure you. Nobody ever cal for them. Why should they? No local authority render us any services; no water—we get ours from the strear—no gas or electricity—we use lamps and candles a you see; no refuse or rubbish collections—we haven got much rubbish and what we have we bury. Beside it's much too far from the nearest village, let alon town, for the most intrepid collector of rates or rubbis or anything else to find his way out here.

NORAH: What about National Insurance?

LOGAN: Well, what about it?

NORAH: Everybody who employs has to pay a contribution; so does everybody who is employed.

Logan: But here we neither employ nor are w employed.

NORAH: Nevertheless, you have to be insured.

James (coming in from the kitchen): Well, waren't anyway. But I came in to say that supper i ready.

James was a tall, muscular-looking man with reddish mair and a rather pale, freekled face. He was a good listener who obviously didn't mind playing second fiddle to Logan whom he regarded with a look of dog-like affection.

Supper was served on a deal table at the far end of the long room—apart from a tiny kitchen and scullery this was the only downstairs room in the cottage. Mr. Longpast, who had to be helped up from his chair, limped across to the table leaning on Michael's arm, but apart from the pain in his leg where he thought he had wrenched a muscle he was, he said, feeling in good form. They sat down to a large boiled ham, broad teans and beer, James explaining that they kept a few pigs and had killed one only a few weeks ago. "Do you do your own curing?" Nellie asked. "Certainly we do," said James.

MR. LONGPAST: But this is delicious. Is your recipe for curing secret?

JAMES: Not at all. We cure in old beer; then we wrap the ham in oak sawdust and smoke it.

NORAH: And have you been doing that these last weeks?

JAMES (uncomprehendingly): No.

NORAH: But I thought you said you had killed a pig a week or two ago.

NELLIE (laughing): Not this pig, silly, you don't get ham like this in a week. I expect it has been hanging quite a time, hasn't it?

JAMES: Over a year. As a matter of fact we got this particular one from a farm nearby. If you are interested

I will show you how we ourselves cure, to-morrow. But the condition of this ham, you know, isn't just a matter of curing and smoking. There is a good deal in the feeding.

MR. LONGPAST: That's another advantage of living well away from the modern city. I, too, have a farm but as it isn't more than fifty miles from London it is well in the State's eye, and the State makes all sorts of rules about what I may do and what I mayn't—two pigs a year, for example, is the most that I can kill for my own eating—and then harasses me with the constant visits of its inspectors to see that I don't break its silly rules. I suppose that nobody ever inspects your pigs?

Logan: No sir. I doubt very much whether anybody knows we've got 'em.

NORAH: Where is the nearest food office?

James: Well, the nearest house is a good mile and a half down the valley and the nearest food office will be four or five miles beyond that.

Nellie: I bet Norah wants to go and give information. She's a State-employed official you know, a probation officer or almoner or something, so it's in her blood.

Norah: Nellie, don't be horrid.

Mr. Longpast: Now then, young ones, none of that. [To Logan.] My leg is throbbing and if you will excuse me, I would like to go to bed.

Logan: Certainly, I will lead the way, if you will follow me.

Long after Mr. Longpast had gone to bed Nellie,

logan, James, Norah and Michael sat up over the fire. logan was obviously attracted by Nellie's good looks and quick mind. With seemingly perfect comprehenon and womanly sympathy she subscribed to his iews, especially as touching the need for withdrawal om the solicitous interferences of the Welfare State. With an almost uncanny precision she shared and even nticipated his tastes about books and music, art and ature even before he had time to unfold them. Withal he was so neat and trim in her figure, her bosom was atight and plump, the curves of her behind so roundly myex that, accustomed as he had been to living with ames for so many months, he was moved by desires mose distinctive quality he had almost forgotten. It was on this evening, too, that Michael felt for the st time the power of Norah's personality. Michael as one of those many Englishmen who grow up late. le had been more than usually dependent first on his urse and then on his mother, and was already looking and half unconsciously for a woman to succeed in iese capacities. His primary need was to be guided rough life, to be cared for and looked after, and Norah, knowledgeable, so coolly efficient, was already being cognised and hailed, albeit unconsciously, as a potenal carer-for and looker-after. She would fill up all e forms, cut out all the coupons, interview all the spectors, apply for all the licences at the right times, id in general fulfil the innumerable duties and emands of the modern State to everybody's complete tisfaction. Mistress to his youth, companion to his iddle age, nurse to his old, she would be his perfect

mate through all the stages of his life. But while h already felt so strong a need of her, his natural diffidence set him wondering what she could ever see i him. For the present he sat very close to her and thrille with pride when she let him hold her hand. Jame busied himself with household chores, getting in fresh supply of logs and laying the table for breakfas in the morning.

The next morning turned out fine and sunny with reat clouds sailing like ships across the sky. Mr. longpast was clearly unable to walk and as he was oth to trespass upon his friends' hospitality longer than was necessary, he was insistent that somebody should go over to Buttermere and collect the car. Logan volunteered to lead the party, and after a display of competing self-sacrifices, it was decided that Nellie, Norah and Michael should accompany him and that James should stay behind with Mr. Longpast.

When they started, the tops of the hills were shrouded in mist, but this gradually lifted and from the top of the Pillar-Steeple ridge a wonderful view was obtained, as the shifting shadows of the clouds chased one another over the green floor of the Liza valley and the surrounding hillsides. Arthur, as Nellie had now begun to call Logan, proposed to vary their route by first going down into Ennerdale and then over the hills again by way of Scale Force down to Crummock and Buttermere. This route was a little farther and involved two climbs instead of one, though the second was not more than a thousand feet, but all declared

themselves fit and well and ready for anything. In the event, a most delightful walk was enjoyed. They descended into Ennerdale from Grike, skirted the lower end of the Lake, paused for a drink at the Anglers and then went up again, to descend by the waters of the magnificently picturesque Scale Force which runs into the Buttermere valley between Buttermere Lake and Crummock. They picked up the car and a long drive of some fifty miles which took them down to the coast, brought them back to the cottage in Highdale about six.

Mr. Longpast, who had no love for his own company. feeling (rightly) afraid whenever he looked within, and finding people useful for keeping his gaze fixed unremittingly outward, was delighted to see them back. He had found James's company a little mild but he was much intrigued by Arthur, sensing in him an attitude that was new to Mr. Longpast's generation. "This," he said to himself, "is what sensitive young men are beginning to feel about the society we are building in Britain. I must look into it further." Accordingly, when supper, consisting mainly of a large dish of bacon and eggs and a Wensleydale cheese-"Yes." said James in answer to Mr. Longpast's query, "we can still get them up here"—had been cleared, he took up the theme of the previous night's conversation.

"I would like," he said, "to hear some more about these deprivations that you say have turned you against the social life of London and sent you to exile yourselves up here, as far away from the amenities of the modern State as you can possibly get."

ARTHUR: I'll try to explain myself, though it isn't asy. You must think of me as a young man, or as a full-be young man of letters. I wanted to write, to alk about literature and books and to live in the throsphere of cultivated people—Dr. Johnson and his ircle, the pre-Raphaelites, the Chesterton-Belloe group, be Bloomsbury of the 'twenties, that sort of thing—and I didn't mind how poor I was or how obscure, provided that I could live in this sort of environment. Well, the first discovery I made was that nothing of the and longer existed in London, that authors, in ofar as authorship was still a profession, had scattered bemselves all over the countryside of the Home bunties. There were no longer any literary circles.

MR. LONGPAST: What on earth do you mean by iterary circles? Not, I hope, the Book League or the lociety of Authors or anything of that kind?

ARTHUR: I agree it is a vague phrase. Let me give ou an example. I have met lots of established authors the had told me of the literary dinners and lunches, specially lunches, they were invited to when they first ame to London and in the hope of making their names. Wells, for example, used to give them and so did lennett. At Wells's there would generally be two or hree attractive smartish women, generally writing hemselves—

MR. LONGPAST: I bet there were.

ARTHUR: Who chattered very agreeably and seemed regard Wells with a sort of amused veneration. You wild also be apt to meet a literary lion or two, omerset Maugham, say, or Galsworthy or, a bit later

D. H. Lawrence or Aldous Huxley. The point walthat you really were in a literary atmosphere. Every body knew about books, everybody discussed them nearly everybody was trying to write them. Above all you met people who might be useful to you as a writer you learned how reputations were made, lost an fluctuated, and picked up valuable wrinkles about publishers and how to deal with them. Now, in the London to which I came there was absolutely nothing in the least like this. I doubt if there was an equivalent literary society at all. If there was, there was nobody with enough money to bring it together and entertain it. Consequently you never met the great men in you world, the established practitioners of your craft.

MR. LONGPAST: In my time—how well I remember them—there were the publishers' parties.

ARTHUR: I have never been to one. Not well known enough, I suppose.

Mr. Longpast: Oh, you didn't have to be well known. The publisher would seize upon the occasion provided by the publication of a new book by a "promising" author to throw a cocktail party. There were the staff of the publishing house and its authors all the critics that had ever been heard of, writers who had "made good" or other "promising" young authors upon whom the publisher had his eye as possible "catches". Hundreds of people would be crowded together all talking excitedly at the tops of their voices and, of course, everywhere there were the women—mothers, wives, sweethearts, sisters, clerks, typists mistresses, Lesbians and, above all, women authors

wh, Mr. Logan, if there is one thing that exceeds the anity of a male author it is that of a female author. What a din we used to make! Even if conversation adn't been made impossible by the row, there was attempt at continuity of talk between persons. you could see the eye of your conversational partner onstantly on the watch or, rather, you could see the sil-end of it on the watch as it roved the room for mebody of greater interest and importance than ourself to swim into its area of vision; you could see he light of interest fade visibly out of it as the more sirable conversationalist presently appeared, and would brace yourself against the abrupt departure, ossibly in mid-sentence, of your interlocutor as he ade off after the desired contact. As I remember these casions, they were pretty ruthless, but they were a et-together of literary people. People did meet one nother and get the feeling of belonging to a single world. And now you tell me these affairs are no more. ARTHUR: I can only say that they have never come my way.

Mr. Longpast: I can well believe it. In the heyday of the publisher's party there was always a certain mount of room to move about, meet people and talk; ater, as they got fewer and fewer, they were thronged to the point of solidity by people who would go anywhere for a drink. I imagine that in the end they were alled by the hordes of drink-seeking gate-crashers. But

re there no literary week-ends?

ARTHUR: Again, I can only say that they haven't ome my way.

129

MR. LONGPAST: Well, they were common enough und to the late 'thirties. There was the arrival at the init evitable week-end cottage on the Saturday afternoor! in time for tea; the meeting with the two or three other guests, all of them either directly or indirectly literard -they would be a writer or two, perhaps a publisher perhaps a B.B.C. man-and between tea and dinner there would be continuous literary gossip. On Sunday morning the Observer and the Sunday Times wer carefully scanned and discussed, more particularly the reviews and publishers' adverts. In the afternoon then would be a walk, with everybody talking all the times and in the evening discussion about trains back to London. Some might go on the Sunday evening in preference to the rigours of early Monday morning rising. It doesn't sound much-it wasn't much; some times, indeed, it was desperately boring—but you di meet literary people; you did talk the shop of you trade; you were given for a moment the sense that you weren't just an isolated individual pursuing som lunatic operation with a pen in a society of labourers technicians, officials and business men who despised you and were right to, but a practitioner of a recognised ancient and honourable profession. Now, I suppose literary people are too poor to invite their kind the stay with them at week-ends; can't feed them for one thing.

ARTHUR: It's rather that literary people, even the best known ones, are much too poor to have house large enough to put people up in. Take as long-established and well-known a writer as Compton Mackenzie

eently knighted for his services to literature. He ils the copyright of some books to the United States of £10,000, buys and does up a lovely old house in erkshire on the proceeds, establishes a vast library here, only to be subjected, years later, to a visitation fincome tax men who demand the £10,000 or most it, with the result that he has to sell his house to say them off. There's another well-established writer know, H. E. Bates. He recently said in public that has to pay so much in income tax that in order to the at all he has to peg away writing book after book. To resting on his oars; no enjoyment of hard-won asure for him.

MR. LONGPAST: What is more to the point, no time a moon about the world in quest of the experiences tich are, after all, the raw material of any novelist's

NORAH: I wouldn't mind betting that for all that here are lots of people who would jump at the chance of changing places with Sir Compton and Mr. Bates. After all, to have £10,000 for tax to be levied on is supplessly beyond the reach of most of us.

ARTHUR: But don't you see, the thing isn't a tax tall but a fine? An author struggles for years in obcurity and very likely poverty trying to make both ands meet with the aid of an occasional bit of free-since journalism, though, by the way, even this is now tenied him owing to the shortage of newsprint. At last the writes a book which sells, with the result that in a serticular year he nets a substantial sum in royalties. Instead of spreading this over the lean years when the

chap was earning little or nothing, the years, perhaps, when he was experimenting and gaining the experience which in the end enabled him to turn out his success, the beastly income tax people charge up everything against the one year in which the money was actually received, charging, therefore, a swinging surtax on top of the income tax.

NELLIE: My friend Freda Jakes was awarded one of the rich American prizes for one of her novels, £25,000 it came to—and a shocking novel, too. I'm blessed if the income tax people didn't collect £21,000, leaving her with a bare £4,000.

ARTHUR: You see how it is; writers have to go on writing when they have nothing to say, writing until they drop in order to preserve themselves at all against the depredations of the income tax people—which, of course, is one of the many reasons why so many bad and so few good works are now produced.

Mr. Longpast: My dear chap, when you have lived in a thoroughly Philistine country as long as I have, you will get used to that sort of thing. I have never known a Government and I have never known a Chancellor of the Exchequer who had the slightest sympathy with art or literature or music, or the least understanding of the needs of those who make these things their profession. Consequently, when the pinch comes, as it has come now, the life of the artist, the writer, the composer, is the first to be nipped. These things the English feel instinctively, are the mere trimmings of society, and when society gets into trouble they are the first to be sacrificed. So, my dear chap, don't be under any

llusion. It isn't that the State is deliberately making your position impossible; being what it is, an English State, it can't, from its very nature, do other than it does.

MICHAEL: Which, I suppose, is also why municipal orchestras are being closed down all over the place.

Mr. Longpast: Well, that's not exactly the State. That's the local authority, Bournemouth and Birmingham and the rest of them, of whose tastes and values to doubt the State is a slightly less inglorious reflection, just as the authorities themselves are slightly less inglorious reflections of the taste of the British public whom they represent.

NORAH: But London is full of good music. Just think of the number of good concerts there are to go to.

MR. LONGPAST: Full of music, certainly, though the quality of the concerts sometimes seems to vary in inverse proportion to their number. But London is also full of Jews and German refugees. It also contains among its eight millions at least nine-tenths of those among the English whose taste is cultivated. Outside London you won't find one in a hundred, nay, one in five hundred, whose soul rises above light music canned from America. As a matter of fact, we have a fair measure of the proportions of the two sections of the population in the figures of those who listen respectively to the Third and the Light programmes, which, I am told, are as one is to fifty. Outside London, Oxford and Cambridge you may broadly say that there is no independent musical culture in this country.

ARTHUR: It seems to me, sir, that judged by the standard of the past, whatever there is in the way of

culture, and by that I mean whatever in the way of human activity can't be regulated, produced to order or economically justified, and which makes no money for the State or anybody else, is rapidly disappearing. Museums and galleries are being shut, orehestras quietly closed down, writers go off to the United States or just fade out-what a lot of writers there are, by the way, who write one or two good books and then stop-the theatre in the provinces after a brief blooming during and just after the war resorts to cinema, artists are increasingly unable to make a living. Compared with our own time, even the nineteenth century seems a haleyon age of culture. The Victorians set up the museums and the galleries that we are closing, and though their paintings may have been appalling, painters at least made a living. But the Welfare State, which is supposed to look after all its citizens, has no place in it for the artist.

Mr. Longpast: May I remind you of the pregnant observation of Thucydides, "war destroys the margin of civilisation". All the things that concern you are on the margin. Hence, not only do we not add anything ourselves to our cultural heritage—look at our terrible spreading suburbs; who in the future, if there is a future, will, I wonder, pay a visit of pious inspection to admire the shops and houses on the North Circular Road, the characteristic emanations of our time; listen to our music, Britten and all; think of our war memorials—but we can't even preserve what previous ages have laid down. Our orchestras, as you observe, are disbanded, our museums and picture galleries closed, our pictures and furniture sold to the United States, our

lovely country houses, the most glorious emanations of the British genius, fall to pieces or become the prey of girls' schools or "looney bins".

NORAH: But most of this is inevitable, being due to economic circumstances which have destroyed the old leisured class which had the time to cultivate the arts and the money to patronise them. Now that the patron has disappeared, of course the State, the local authority, and such semi-State organisations as the British Council and the Arts Council, must step in to take their place, and this precisely is what they do do, especially since the coming of the Labour Government.

ARTHUR: But, my dear girl, that is precisely what they don't do. I was brought up a Socialist and I believed that Socialism was not just an economic creed which would spread the materialist values of nineteenth-century Capitalism through every class of the community. I believed that Socialism meant the opening of the minds and the enriching of the souls of men and women everywhere, believed, in fact, in my innocence, in the Merrie England of art and song, of Robert Blatchford and William Morris. But how remote is this from the cinemas and dance halls, the dog racing and the dirt-track racing, and the omniprevalent football pools of the Welfare State. Culture is an affair of individuals. It can't be turned on like a tap, either by a Socialist State or by Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer. What can be centrally provided and distributed is not culture but mass entertainment.

MR. LONGPAST: I agree with you. And there is something else which you haven't mentioned yet.

ARTHUR: What's that?

MR. LONGPAST: A common background of contemporary reading. If you will forgive me for again referring to my own youth, when I was growing up there were half a dozen or more writers whom everybody one knew had read. On the horizon, just setting or about to set, were the suns of Meredith, Hardy and Henry James; shining in mid-day brightness were Shaw Chesterton, Belloc, Wells, Galsworthy, Bennett, not to speak of a host of subsidiary lights like Jerome K Jerome, James Barrie, W. W. Jacobs and Arthur Conan Doyle. All these were established authors, and so far as the first lot, at any rate, were concerned. whenever a book by any one of them came out, everybody pounced on it and read it; or if they hadn't read it, they thought it worth while to make a pretence of having done so, to the extent at least of knowing what it was about, if they were to pass muster in the society of educated persons. All this made for the establish ment of a common background of literary culture, in that we all had a common fund of contemporary reading to draw upon for talk and criticism. In the 'twenties again there was a group, Aldous Huxley, D. H. Lawrence, E. M. Forster-though most of his work had been done by 1914-Virginia Woolf, and a little later T. S. Eliot-not that I have ever been able to read him myself-whom everybody was supposed to have read and most of us did in fact read. Again, then, a common background for the interchange of comment and criticism. But now, as far as I can see, with the exception of Hemingway and Somerset

Maugham, who belongs to a different age, there are no standard authors, which means that none of us have read the same books.

NORAH: But surely that can't be true. It seems to me that there have never been so many good writers. Read the advertisements in the *Observer* and the *Sunday Times* and see what the critics say about the novels they review—why, there seem to be no end to the masterpieces that are being produced. Indeed, a person like myself who has to earn her own living finds it very hard to keep up with them all.

A general laugh greeted this piece of innocence. "Dear Norah," said Nellie, "she is indeed a very serious oirl."

MICHAEL (coming to her assistance): Well, but the publishers' advertisements do say just these things and they are only quoting the comments which the critics, not the publishers, have already made. What Norah says is quite true. Every week does bring out its crop of masterpieces.

MR. LONGPAST (ignoring this, to Arthur): Tell me, Arthur, you who are a literary man. . . .

ARTHUR: Sorry, only a would-be literary man, sir. MR. LONGPAST: Well, anyway, you know what is going on in your generation and keep up with it—tell me who are the outstanding writers to-day. Who are the people whom your generation simply has to read?

ARTHUR (after a long pause): Well, there are the Greens. [Mr. Longpast made a face and emitted a rude noise.]

ARTHUR: Haven't you read them, sir? I assure you they are very highly thought of.

Mr. Longpast: No doubt, and they are, are they not, the names that are inevitably mentioned when this sort of question is asked? So, wishing to keep myself up to date, I have indeed read them, or at least read *some* books by them.

ARTHUR: Well, what do you think of them?

Mr. Longpast: Of which? They are rather different, aren't they? Take the Green whose Christian name I forget who writes books with titles like Doting, Loving, Hating. On the pegs of these absurd titles he hangs novels which don't seem to me to be about anything at all. A servant girl finds her mistress in bed with a lover and gossips about it with the butler, and then there is a lot about pigeons—symbolic pigeons, or aren't they, perhaps, symbolic at all? Really, I don't know. What beats me is how, with all the canvas of contemporary life to paint on, with dynastics falling, wars raging, revolutions threatening and mankind solemnly preparing the means of its own destruction, a novelist can concern himself with such trifling, and lay claim to be taken scriously.

ARTHUR: But he is thought to be very sensitive, sir, I assure you. Very subtle insight into character and all that!

MR. Löngpast: But what characters! And into what is this penetrating insight directed? Into what an uneducated girl may or may not have noted in an emotional situation. Who cares? Yet this is one of our contemporary, outstanding writers whom we are

upposedly to set beside the Dickenses and Thackerays nd Trollopes and George Eliots of the past.

But I am forgetting. There is the greater Greene, raham, the writer of Catholic thrillers, the Greene ith the jerky, staccato style; Greene, the novelist of all and remorse—our little Mauriac.

ARTHUR: Well, sir, don't you agree that he is a eat writer? What about *The Power and the Glory*? Mr. Longpast: Since he gave up writing thrillers oper, which, I agree, did convey something of the citement of the chase, I personally find him a ocking bore—and a lowering, dispiriting bore to boot. o humour, no high spirits, no zest or gusto.

ARTHUR: Really, sir, I find that a bit sweeping. iter all, leaving out Somerset Maugham, he is our iding novelist.

Mr. Longrast: Oh, you do, do you? Let me try and bstantiate my sweeping assertions, which I am for ce in the happy position of being able to do, because have just been reading a Greene novel. Indeed, I rried it in my rucksack over the mountains—enough itself to make you warm to any book, and there it on the table beside me. It is called *The End of the fair*, and it has been highly praised. Indeed, many tics describe it as being his best work, which is why ead it. Have you read it, by the way?

ARTHUR: Not yet, sir.

MR. LONGPAST: Well, don't. It's utterly dreary and vering. It's about an old love affair, not, of course, d straightforwardly but in bits and pieces in the usual lique Greene method—he can't, it seems, tell a

139

straight story—as the narrator remembers first this and then that. As the memories are just put down in the order in which they apparently occur to him, you are never quite sure in what order the events took place. Chronology, by the way, was never Greene's strong point. Why can't he just begin at the beginning with "Once upon a time . . ." like anybody else and then go on to the end? Too obvious, I suppose. Yet pretty well all the world's great novelists, in fact. among other things, tell a story, which begins at the beginning and goes on to the end. Think of Hardy. Tolstoy, Chaucer, Maupassant, all of them writing books which in their different ways are variations upon the theme which begins with "once upon a time . . ." and ends with "lived happily ever after" or "miserably ever after" or "didn't live at all", because the novelist has killed them off. It seems to me that there is nobody writing now who can tell, or at any rate is content to tell, a straightforward story-straightforward in the sense of not being a series of flashbacks, or of memories jotted down as they occur-a story which you read on from page to page simply because you want to know what is going to happen. This inability to tell a story is all of a piece with the comparable inability of our composers to write a tune with a good, long melodic line, something that you can remember, as Schubert did, or Mozart.

NELLIE: For goodness' sake, Uncle, don't get on to all your hobby-horses at once. We all know about people not being able to write stories and tunes because we have heard about it from you ever since we can remember. With your digressions and digressions

nom digressions, you are just like the writers and composers you complain of. What about getting on with Graham Greene?

MR. LONGPAST: Sorry, sorry! Well, where was I? NELLIE: Nowhere at all. You hadn't even begun.
MR. LONGPAST: Well, the book's about a love affair tween the narrator and a married woman, and in the

middle of it she leaves him.

NELLIE: Why?

MR. LONGPAST: Well, she doesn't really know, so ou can't expect me to tell you. As she so laboriously plains, the reasons were incomprehensible to her at he time—and I would just like to rub in the fact that hey remain incomprehensible to the reader at all limes—but as it subsequently turns out, she has found hod and has made a vow to God.

ARTHUR: Sounds odd to me.

Mr. Longpast: Well, it is odd. You see the pair of them are making love and then the house is bombed. The finds him lying under a door and thinks at first hat he is dead, whereupon she feels constrained to make a vow: "Let him be alive," she prays, "and I will believe." Not only so, but "I will give him up for wer." And then, rightly appalled by the promise she has made, she proceeds to comfort herself by reflecting that "People can love without seeing each other, can't hey? They love you all their lives without seeing you." Well, he recovers and she does give him up, and presently she dies. And that's that.

ARTHUR: But has there been any previous mention f religion in the book; has she, for example, been

represented as believing in or even wondering abou God before this?

MR. LONGPAST: None whatever, which only in creases one's surprise at the arbitrariness of the whole thing. Talk about action springing naturally from the natures of the actors, of people, in other words, be having in character! In Greene's world anybody seem quite capable at any moment of performing any action And did you ever hear of anything so damned silly a that stuff about people loving one another who neve meet? What's the good of his loving her if she neve sees him, or of her loving him if he never sees her? S pointless! And why wasn't the poor chap consulted anyway? You see, she acts entirely off her own bat the result being that everything turns out for the worst She dies of pneumonia and a broken heart; he find that he can't love anybody else and is utterly wretched Maximum misery for everybody all round!

NORAH: But it must have a moral in it somewhere Mr. Longpast: Very likely, but what is it?

NORAH: That if people love illicitly, God will pathem out sooner or later for what they have done.

MR. LONGPAST: But that is so manifestly not true at any rate of their fortune in this world.

NORAH: Well, then, leaving God out of it, that people love illicitly, everybody concerned will ultimate be made miserable.

MR. LONGPAST: I deny it. That again is flagrant untrue. Just think of the people in history and liter ture, just think of the people one knows who has loved one another without being married and had the

why, I myself.... Well, we won't go into my affairs, but I can assure you that, having loved the women lought not to have loved and left unloved the momen I ought to have loved, I am very well, thank wu.

NORAH: So far, perhaps you are, but you aren't nished yet. Who, I should like to know, is going to lok after you when you are old and ill and beyond wing and being loved?

NELLIE: Shut up, Norah; don't be such a ghoul. at Uncle, what else about the Greene book?

MR. LONGPAST: Well, I've told you the dim little tory with its silly moral, if that is its moral. As to paracter drawing, I assure you, you carry away no eture of the people at all. Sarah, the woman, is the entre of the book, but she remains shadowy and aceless. You hear about the way she makes love, how "she arched her back in the moment of love" and on, but for the rest, there is no character with an utline sufficiently firm for you to grasp and fix in the nemory—merely a sequence of conflicting and often ontradictory appearances-all of which makes the arrator's reactions to Sarah rather less surprising than hey might otherwise be. "I hate her," he says, and hen "I love her." "She was a good woman" he assures imself, "no, she was a whore . . . " and so on. Compare er with, say, Anna Karenina and you realise the extent to which she simply doesn't live.

Then there is Henry, the husband, a decent ivil servant, utterly non-entitious—another character

without a face or, indeed, without a body of any kind.

There is no attempt to individualise these dim personages by their mode of talking. They all talk alike and their dim drip of conversation about past loved is the substance of the novel. There's nothing else—no humour, no jollity, no gusto, no zest—above all, no outstanding or memorable theme. But it's the general dreariness of the book that I think I chiefly object to What, one wonders, was the book written for at all if it wasn't to lower and depress the reader? And what a fuss to make about love! Why, the thing might have been written by a woman, for it is women who attribute this importance to loving and being loved, because it is the only thing they can do.

Oh, and there's one other thing. I'm blessed if Greene doesn't go and put himself into the novel. "Patronis" ingly in the end," he writes "he" (a young critic) "would place me"-"me" being Graham Greene-"probably a little above Maugham because Maugham is popular and I have not yet committed that crime": and a little later the young critic is made to refer to Greene as "a skilled craftsman whose work has greater sympathy, perhaps, than Mr. Maugham's". What infernal cheek! Why, Greene can't hold a candle to Maugham who for general vitality, ability as a storyteller and fertility of invention, the construction of incidents is so incomparably superior to Greene that the comparison between them is nothing short of an impertinence-let alone the facts that Maugham is one of the greatest stylists now writing English-that he

an create real, recognisable people—Rosie for instance a Cakes and Ale—and knows more about the human eart than Greene will ever do, even if he were to ve until Doomsday. Really, I never heard such heek.

Mr. Longpast was so indignant that, forgetting his a njured foot, he rose from his chair with a view to a leclamatory stamping about the room, but his foot efusing to take the sudden weight, he fell back with groan of surprised anguish into his chair. The incident idn't improve the temper with which he greeted forah's mild remonstrance that, if he didn't hold with Graham Greene, there was always Christopher fry.

At the mention of this name, Mr. Longpast literally lowled with distaste. Norah was outraged. "You can't," he said, "dismiss our leading dramatist by making rude

oises."

MR. LONGPAST: This is too much. Who says he is ur leading dramatist?

NORAH: Well, nobody, perhaps, in so many words but it is a generally understood thing, and what's more, he is advancing and developing all the time. But, I wouldn't take it upon myself to say such a thing, if he best critics and judges weren't themselves in agreement. Why, only last Sunday I read in the Observer in a survey of the literary events of 1951, a remark by Stephen Spender which said just this. [Norah dived into the handbag which she had carried with her over the mountains and produced the previous Sunday's Observer.] Yes, here it is. [She read.] "The dramatist

who really seemed to have taken a step forward this year was Christopher Fry with A Sleep of Prisoners, which seems to me to be his best work." So you see, you can't just dismiss Fry like that.

MR. LONGPAST: It may surprise you to learn that I have seen A Sleep of Prisoners or, rather, I have seen as much of it as I could stand; and a most distressing experience it was which I shan't easily forget. The play, if I remember, consisted of four men having nightmares in a church. For the most part, they talked or chanted in a kind of sing-song about their war experiences, but every now and then they burst without warning into loud and horrid cries, and the devil of it was they wouldn't keep still. Instead of stopping in the choir where they originally appeared, they began to dart about all over the church, turning up in the pulpit, at the altar, at the lectern, in the nave. Just when the intolerable dreariness of their monotone was sending you quietly off to sleep, one of them would appear in the pew behind you with a green light shining on his face and with a sharp yelp wake you up again. Well, here are these chaps, groaning, shouting and screeching about all over the church-I never heard such a din in my life-but what it was all about I have very little idea. As far as I could understand, the "prisoners" were expressing the elements of their unconscious selves which, according to some psychoanalytic theory or other, are supposed to upsurge into consciousness in dreams and nightmares. presumably, the horrid groans, the yelps of fear and dismay, the atavistic hatreds and lusts pouring

themselves out in these distressing gobbets of truncated sound as if the characters were being psychologically and very audibly sick. Is that what the contemporary serious drama has come to? For contents the dregs of the unconscious expressed in yelps and groans instead of the workings of a civilised consciousness expressed in epigram and wit; for dialogue, the monosyllabic banalities of Army slang, instead of the reasonable intercommunications of adult minds; and for action, distraught men rushing idiotically about a church and suddenly turning up in pews and aisles. Shades of Shaw and Sheridan, not to mention Shakespeare who was, I'm afraid, on occasion a little given to that sort of thing himself.

ARTHUR: You put it strongly, sir, not but what I would have agreed with you that Fry is overrated. He is overrated because it was such a change for us to hear people on the stage using words of more than one syllable, put together in sentences of more than half a dozen words, sometimes even in musical verse, that we thought that some kind of intellectual content was being conveyed; that Fry, in other words, had something to say.

MR. Longpast: Surely it's pretty clear by now that he hasn't. I will grant you that he starts off every now and then with an idea or two—that's not such a bad idea at the beginning of *Venus Observed* when the chap suggests that his son shall choose a mother from a gathering of his own discarded mistresses especially summoned for the occasion—but he hasn't the intellectual power to work the thing out. There is neither

moral nor message and the subject peters out into a bog of words. If I'm wrong, if he has something to say, what is it?

Arthur had to confess that he didn't know, nor was he much more successful when Mr. Longpast pressed him about the plays of T. S. Eliot, about The Cocktail Party and The Family Reunion. What, after all, were they after? Mr. Longpast then passed contemporary poetry in rapid review. Could anybody, he asked, understand it? Norah thought that she often did, and Arthur was sure that he did. "But really understand?" asked Mr. Longpast, "in the sense that you can understand 'My luve is like a red, red rose' or 'Come away, come away Death' or any of the really lovely poems in the language, or good second-rate stuff like Enoch Arden or The Ballad of Reading Gaol or a Border Ballad or even the Bab Ballads?"

Norah observed that of course modern poetry is more complicated than poetry used to be, that it wasn't, for example, content just to tell a story like a ballad or an epic, but that it probed deep into the nature of things while its elusiveness enabled it to express fine nuances of meaning, subtle shades of emotion, which hadn't hitherto fallen within the compass of poetry.

MR. LONGPAST: But in order that it may produce these effects, I think you would agree that you must first understand it.

Norah: In a sense, I suppose, yes.

Mr. Longpast: Well, let's turn to the current New Statesman that I see Arthur so providentially takes in. In this journal are printed week by week poems which

presumably represent the best or at any rate the most typical of contemporary work. [Reaching for the *New Statesman*, Mr. Longpast began to read.*]

THE TOWER

Pile upon pile of thought he drove Into the sobbing bog below, While others on the shaking raft Of laughter travelled to and fro; Light after light of love sailed by His single and unseeing eye.

Coldly he willed and slowly strove
To build the lean and winding stair,
While, wide and high, the idle drove
Swung on hyperboles of air;
In hoops of happiness they curled
Bat-like about his darkening world.

Whose was the hand that laid the pyre?
What was the foot that fled the stair?
Look how the jarring tongues of fire
Roll out and glory-hole the air:
From the charred arches of his brain
The golden girders fall like rain
Upon the unforgiving plain.

^{*} Publishers' Note. It has seemed best to leave the characteristic passage on the next page as Dr. Joad wrote it, perverse as Mr. Longpast's strictures obviously are. The poem is by Mr. W.R. Rodgers, and appeared in the New Statesman of September 22nd, 1951. Mr. Longpast quoted only the second and third stanzas. Mr. Rodgers and his publishers, Messrs. Secker and Warburg Ltd., have very kindly allowed us to reproduce the poem in full.

Mr. Longpast (continuing): Now have you [looking at Norah] the faintest idea what that's about? What is the "lean and winding stair"? Who are "the idle drove"? What are "the charred arches" and "golden girders of his brain"? What in the name of goodness is it all about?

Gathering round this curiosity they subjected it to a minute investigation, but after considerable study issuing in many conjectures and some ribaldry, they were compelled to admit that they really didn't know.

"But if not meaningful," asked Mr. Longpast, "is it perhaps beautiful, beautiful because of its wording and imagery, or memorable because of its lilt and rhythm, in the sense in which some of Shakespeare's songs are beautiful and memorable, although they amount to little or nothing from the point of view of meaning? Can you, for example, imagine yourself memorising the thing and humming it to yourself as you walk on the fells?"

The notion of anybody remembering or humming such stuff was so absurd that Norah and Michael took refuge in alleging the poem's unrepresentativeness. It was, they averred, a particularly unfortunate example of the modern school. But asking for a file of old numbers of the New Statesman, most of which contained poems, Mr. Longpast, by reading a number of poems taken at random, quickly disabused them of this notion, for all were almost equally unintelligible.

Mr. Longpast then turned to music, and maintained that we were so anxious to have a great English composer that we insisted on turning our geese into swans,

and elevated Britten who was no more than a very local goose into the higher flights of musical genius. Now the essence of music was memorable melody, just as the essence of literature was story. And what memorable melodies, pray, had Britten written, or Bliss or Bax or Bloch or Berkeley or Bartok? If there were any such, he challenged them to remember and to hum them. Their joint efforts at memory produced one and one only, the melody of Britten's "Song of the Birds" in Let's Make an Opera.

"Lovely," said Mr. Longpast, "but one or two herons, owls and chaffinches don't make a composer. People," he went on, "like Norah, impressed by the number of contemporary composers and of concerts of contemporary music, deduce that England is no longer 'the land without music'. You might just as well say that America is a land of athletes because Americans won most of the gold medals at the Olympic Games, whereas we know that most Americans have pretty well lost the use of their legs owing to their excessive addiction to cars. For where," he went on, "is there to-day any native melody in England? Even the art of the Victorian ballad, even the vulgar lilt of the music-hall song or the Sankey and Moody hymn book have died away."

NELLIE: Uncle, you are quoting Miss Flightly's grandmother—you remember, the perforated stamps and all that?

MR. LONGPAST: Well, what if I am? She was quite right. Ours is an age which will be remembered, in so far as it is remembered at all, for its total lack of

song, an age in which people have ceased utterly to produce or to render music for themselves; an age in which errand boys no longer whistle. "Listen," he said, "to the next batch of soldiers you meet in the train, producing in their embarrassment those dreary sounds that they call whistling—they all do it, God knows why. Never by the remotest chance do these sounds convey the slightest suggestion of a tune. The truth is that the poor brutes don't know any tunes and consequently they can neither sing nor whistle.

"As with literature, as with drama, as with poetry, as with music, so also with painting—who on earth," Mr. Longpast wanted to know, "is going to purchase or does purchase the wretched non-representative daubs that are exhibited in parks and on the Embankment? Who, for that matter, is going to saddle himself with the pictures of the 'one-man shows' that look out upon the fashionable crowds from the walls of the smart galleries?—and so also with philosophy, whose manner becomes increasingly unintelligible as its matter becomes increasingly trifling. But I won't," Mr. Longpast magnanimously concluded, "regale you with a disquisition on contemporary philosophy. I know too much about it."

A murmur of pleased assent greeted this announcement, and as Mr. Longpast had now been talking for some considerable time, it was felt on all hands that he should be spared the labours of further exposition. "There is just one question I would like to put to you," said Arthur, "before we stop. What is the purpose of this comprehensive diatribe?"

MR. LONGPAST: To exhibit our age as utterly uncreative. It is an age without art, without beauty and without genius. Now that Shaw's dead I suppose that Tommy Beecham is the only man of genius in the country, and he's in his seventies. What is more, it is exceedingly unlikely that, short of a major catastrophe ishering in a new Dark Age, creativity will return to the arts. Hence, there is good reason for thinking that the arts will remain second-rate, until in the end they are reduced, as they are already reduced in Russia, to a glorification of the State by artists drawing State salaries. Shall I explain further?

To this question there was a great cry of "No!" It was getting late and the car being now restored, it had been decided to make an early start in the morning. Before they went to bed a hearty invitation was extended to Arthur and James to visit Folly Farm to which Mr. Longpast was returning on the morrow. Nellie was particularly pressing in her seconding of Mr. Longpast's invitation and before they left it had been decided that Arthur at least should travel southwards in a week's time. James, manifestly a little hurt by Arthur's obvious interest in Nellie, was politely not sure whether after all he would be able to come.

Postscript

I have been undecided for some weeks what I should do with these my poor characters. Having created them in what I am pleased to believe is the Peacock manner, and having used them as mouthpieces for sentiments I wished to express or ridicule, I find it difficult to return them to the puppet-cupboard with the unconcern Peacock would have shown. I have hadwhich Peacock had not-to withstand the influence of the cinema, whose characters are so unreal that we do not mind them being paired off in their little modern "semis" to contemplate an eternity of treacly happy-ever-afterness. Whatever the reason, I feelor felt, for I have subdued the feeling-an obligation towards my characters. I even felt I must create some action for them-if only to prove to myself that I could make them act as well as talk. Indeed, I went so far as to write a final chapter in which Poynter fought almost to the death with Arthur Logan for the privilege of cuckolding Christopher. I wrote it and I read it. I even enjoyed it. But I tore it up. I am certain, on reflection, that those readers who are sufficently interested in Poynter and Nellie and in Michael and Norah will be able to imagine for themselves an ending more exactly to their taste than I could have written. For my part I have done with them.

